Nature inspired algorithms for classical knapsack problem

Project report submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of Bachelor of Technology

in

Computer Science and Engineering/Information Technology

By

Ujjaval Malhotra (141352)

Under the supervision of

Dr. Yugal Kumar

to

Department of Computer Science & Engineering and Information Technology

Jaypee University of Information Technology Waknaghat, Solan-173234, Himachal Pradesh

Candidate’s Declaration

I hereby declare that the work presented in this report entitled **“Nature inspired algorithm for classical knapsack problem”** in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the award of the degree of **Bachelor of Technology** in **Computer Science and Engineering/Information Technology** submitted in the department of Computer Science & Engineering and Information Technology**,** Jaypee University of Information Technology Waknaghat is an authentic record of my own work carried out over a period from January 2018 to May 2018 under the supervision of **(Dr. Yugal kumar)** (Assistant Professor).

The matter embodied in the report has not been submitted for the award of any other degree or diploma.

Ujjaval Malhotra, 141352

This is to certify that the above statement made by the candidate is true to the best of my knowledge.

Dr. Yugal Kumar
Assistant Professor
Department of Computer Science

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I take this opportunity to express my profound gratitude and deep regards to my guide Dr. Yugal Kumar for his exemplary guidance, monitoring and constant encouragement throughout the course of this project. The blessing, help and guidance given by his time to time shall carry us a long way in the journey of life on which we are about to embark.

The in-time facilities provided by the Computer Science department throughout the project development are also equally acknowledgeable.

At the end I would like to express my sincere thanks to all my friends and others who helped me directly or indirectly during this project work.

Date:12 May ,2012

Ujjaval Malhotra (141352)

Table of contents

List of Abbreviations

DKP	Discounted knapsack problem
MOOP	Multi-objective optimization problem
QMKP	Quadratic multiple knapsack problem
SUKP	Set-Union knapsack problem
TKP	Temporal knapsack problem
ACO	Ant colony optimization
MMBO	Multi-strategy monarch butterfly optimization
PSO	Particle swarm optimization
SFLA	Shuffled frog leap algorithm
LSACO	Langrarian search ant colony optimization
NGHS	Novel global harmony search
GADS	Genetic algorithm with double strings
QAIS	Quantum inspired artificial immune system
ABHS	Adaptive binary harmony search
BFOA	Binary fruit fly optimization algorithm
MBPSO	Modified binary particle swarm optimization
BPSOTVAC	Binary PSO with time-varying acceleration
CBPSOTVAC	Chaotic PSO with time-varying acceleration
GGA	Greedy genetic algorithm

List of Tables

Abstract

The knapsack could be a terribly well-known problem and lots of approaches are planned like dynamic programming and greedy strategy to resolve this problem. however 0/1 knapsack problem is associate NP-complete problem. finding it during a polynomial time could be a challenge. it's turning into a crucial problem as a result of there are several real world applications supported this. Genetic Algorithms are proved to be an honest approach in finding these forms of problem and with the assistance of Genetic Algorithms it'll now not stay a NP-complete problem. variety of numerical experiments are performed and therefore the outcome shows how this approach is healthier than the previous approach of Genetic rule for finding 0/1 knapsack problem. The aim of this project is to use a meta-heuristic rule for finding 0-1 knapsack problem in optimum means.

Chapter-1: Introduction

1.1 About knapsack problem

Knapsack issues are seriously examined since the spearheading work of Dantzig inside the late 50's, each because of their quick applications in exchange and money related administration, however extra articulated for hypothetical reasons as knapsack issues every now and again happen by unwinding of arranged number programming issues. In such application, we'd jump at the chance to determine a knapsack problem whenever a bouncing capacity comes, requesting speedy arrangement methods. The knapsack problem could be a problem in combinatorial improvement: Given a gathering of n things, each with a weight and a value, affirm the measure of each thing to consolidate in a grouping so the entire weight is a littler sum than or up to a given breaking point and along these lines the aggregate worth as gigantic as could be allowed. varying sorts of knapsack issues happen, figuring on the dispersion of things and knapsacks:

- In the 0-1 knapsack problem each thing is likewise picked and no more once, while in delimited knapsack problem, a limited amount is characterized of each thing compose. The numerous decision knapsack problem happens once the things ought to be looked over disjoint classes. In the event that numerous knapsacks are to be full at the same time; at that point it's different knapsack issues. Fundamentally, it's a general number Programming (IP) problem with positive coefficients. All knapsack problems have a place with the group of NP-difficult problems, and may devise polynomial calculations for these problems. however regardless of this, exponential most pessimistic scenario answer times of all knapsack calculations are given. Further, numerous mammoth scale cases could likewise be tackled ideally in portions of second. numerous procedures that are connected to disentangle knapsack problem successfully spoke to underneath.

- Branch and Bound: basically a whole identification, however limits are utilized for understanding hubs that can't cause an enhanced answer. Branch and bound methods have regularly been connected to knapsack problem since Kolesar presented the essential algorithmic run in 1967.

- Dynamic writing computer programs: is additionally observed as an expansiveness first list with the enslavement of some unique tenets. for the most part bouncing tests are added to dynamic programming calculations whereby they move toward becoming "propelled" sorts of branch-and-bound calculations. interesting time limits could likewise be acquired by this technique for some issues in knapsack family.

- State space unwinding: could be a dynamic programming unwinding wherever the coefficients are scaled by a set cost. amid this approach the time and space many-sided quality of a calculation is likewise altogether diminished, at the loss of optimality. State space relaxations cause a temperate estimated calculations for some, knapsack issues.

- Preprocessing: numerous factors is likewise from the earlier secured taking care of business esteems by utilizing some bouncing tests to reject beyond any doubt estimations of the appropriate response factors.

In writing, varying sorts of knapsack problems are thought of. Some these are recorded as beneath.

Marked down knapsack problem: The reduced knapsack problem (DKP) is an expansion of the traditional knapsack problem (KP) that comprises of picking a {group|a collection} of thing groups wherever every gathering incorporates 3 things and no more one among the 3 things is picked. The DKP is more troublesome than the KP because of four choices of things in a thing group broaden the decision of the things.

Multi-target 0-1 knapsack problem: The multi-objective 0– 1 knapsack problem (MKP), as a NP-hard, great multi-target advancement problem (MOOP), is an expansion of the main target rendition of this problem. MKP could be an awfully interesting disadvantage for inquires about. On one hand, a few true applications are concurring taking care of capital planning, decision of transportation speculation choices, movement problems emerging in preservation science, and outlining redress of debased light station locales. Then again, the knapsack problem has been frequently used to look at the execution of organic process multi-target calculations inside the writing. In this manner, up until this point, a few methodologies are anticipated for MKP, similar to tabu pursuit, hereditary calculations (GAs), dynamic programming, branch-and-bound algorithmic program, et cetera.

Multi-dimensional knapsack problem: The multidimensional knapsack problem (MKP) could be an outstanding combinatorial change, that is moreover a NP-difficult problem. the objective is to expand the entire benefit of the picked given things with all asset limitations fulfilled. a scope of sensible applications is produced as MKPs, for example, capital planning, stacking, asset designating, cutting stock, and so on. In this manner, it's vital inside the advancement of viable and temperate calculations for assurance MKP's.

Quadratic various knapsack: The quadratic different knapsack problem (QMKP) might be an outstanding combinatorial streamlining problem. Given an accumulation of knap-sacks of limited ability and a gathering of articles (or things), each protest is identified with a weight, an individual benefit and a couple shrewd benefit with the other question. The QMKP plans to see a maximum benefit task (pressing) of articles to the knapsacks subject to the ability imperative of each knapsack. The QMKP contains an assortment of important applications wherever assets with totally different|completely different} levels of collaboration should be circulated among various undertakings.

0-1 knapsack sharing problem: The 0– 1 knapsack Sharing problem (KSP) presented by Brown(1979), that could be a max– min streamlining problem with a knapsack requirement. This problem contains a wide choice of financial or mechanical applications and happens once assets should be shared or circulated decently to numerous substances. inside the 0– 1 KSP, we battle with a gathering N= of n things. each thing j € N returns vj units of benefit and expends a given amount of asset wj with respect to the quality 0– 1 knapsack problem. The set N is spoiled into m disjoint classes of things: i.e., for each couple (i,j), $i \neq j$, $i \leq m$ and $j \leq m$, $Ni \cap Nj = O$ and $N = \cap Ni$. A direct capacity is identified with each class of things. the objective of the 0– 1 KSP is to work out the subset of things to put in a knapsack of limit c in order to expand the most reduced cost of the arrangement of m straight capacities subject to one direct knapsack limitation.

0-1 multi-dimensional knapsack problem: The 0– 1 multidimensional knapsack problem (MKP) could be a NP-hard combinatorial improvement problem that emerges in a few down to earth issues, similar to capital planning and undertaking determination problem, assigning processors and databases in a dispersed programmed information handling framework, venture choice, shipment stacking et cetera. The 0– 1 MKP is produced as takes after: boost $z(x)= cx$ subject to $Ax \leq b$ wherever c= is a n-dimensional line vector of benefits, x= is a n-dimensional section vector of 0– 1 call factors, $A=[ak,j]$,k=1; 2;… ;m, j = 1; 2;… ; n is a m x n coefficient network of assets and b = {b,1b2,b3,… ..,bn] is a m-dimensional segment vector of asset limits. It should be noted here that, in a 0– 1 multidimensional knapsack problem, each part of c, An and b is thought to be nonnegative. The objective of the 0– 1 MKP is to search out a subset of n things that returns most benefit z without outperforming asset limits b.

compelled knapsack problem: The arranged knapsack problem could be another rebate requirement in KP. On the off chance that base preset amount of question is picked then a markdown (in $) is to be thought of for that protest (thing) and in this manner the aggregate rebate ought to or surpass a preset aggregate rebate (D). for a couple of the articles there's a rebate. Assume for jth question, if least unit of protest is expended, the rebate is dj; generally, no markdown is considered and along these lines the aggregate preset least rebate is D. Here knapsack limit is C.

0-1 quadratic knapsack problem: The 0– 1 quadratic knapsack problem (QKP) comprising in amplifying a quadratic target work subject to a direct capacity requirement.

Maximize f(x) =∑_(i=1)^n▒〖p^i x^i 〗

Subject to $w_i x_i \leq c$

$x_i \; \dot{\varepsilon}$, i=1,2,3,….,n ,

where x is that the n-dimensional vector of the 0/1 choice factors (things), pi might be a benefit accomplished if thing I is picked and $p_{ij}(i = 1, 2, \ldots, n - 1, j = I + 1, \ldots, n)$ might be a benefit accomplished if the two things I and (j >i) are picked. wi is the weight steady of thing I and c is the limit of the knapsack. pi, pij and wi are sure numbers and c is a whole number with the end goal that maximum $\{w_i: I = 1, 2, \ldots, n\} \leq c$.

Vast scale 0-1 knapsack problem: Combinatorial streamlining might be a numerical improvement or practicability program to search out an ideal question from a limited arrangement of articles.

Among them, 0– 1 knapsack problem is the most illustrative set and it includes fundamental applications in various fields, and additionally industrial facility area problem, generation programming problem, task problem and steadfastness problem. so it's pulled in a decent arrangement of consideration and been widely examined inside the past couple of decades. Scientifically, the 0– 1 knapsack problem in its standard sort can be communicated as:

Max f(x) = ∑_(i=1)^n▒pixi

X $\dot{\varepsilon}$, i=1,2,3,…..,n

where n is the assortment of things. each thing I has a benefit value pi and a volume value vi. Vmax means the volume limit of the knapsack. xi speaks to the condition of the thing I and is limited to either zero or one. On the off chance that the thing I is put into the knapsack, xi is set to one, generally, 0. each thing could likewise be picked and no more once and can't be put inside the knapsack mostly.

Set Union knapsack problem: The Set-Union knapsack problem (SUKP), a characteristic expansion of the quality 0-1 knapsack problem (0-1KP), is a NP-finish problem. Despite the issue, SUKP has been known to be important in various space particular applications like cash choosing, flexible assembling machine, database dividing, savvy city and information stream pressure .specifically, an all around loved use of SUKP is to make open key model (PKC). to support the security in building PKC in view of SUKP, specialists intend to shrouded the hint of open key through a few cycles. Along these lines, gatecrashers can't receive Lenstra number programming calculations to intrude on the key. it's an incentive to taking note of that transformative Algorithms (EAs) are basically an arbitrary inquiry plot amid which the pursuit execution is immaterial to properties of the issue. a few analysts along these lines trust that EA-based PKC might be a promising strategy. The living examinations demonstrate that the essential procedures are an approach to style quick and sparing recipe to determine SUKPs. it's typically recognized that reviews with respect to ways to deal with discovering SUKP upheld EAsare very imperative to the domain of data security.

Transient knapsack problem: Temporal knapsack problem (TKP) might be a speculation of the quality knapsack problem wherever a period skyline is considered, and each thing devours the knapsack limit all through a confined interim exclusively. The TKP is formally illustrated as takes after. a gathering of n things is given, the I-th of that has measure wi, a benefit pi , and is dynamic exclusively all through a period interim [si, ti). An arrangement of things should be stuffed into a knapsack of limit C with the end goal that the entire benefit is augmented and furthermore the knapsack limit isn't surpassed anytime. in order to fulfill the past request, it's sufficient to force that a limit requirement is fulfilled toward the starting time si of each thing I. Let S j:= mean the arrangement of dynamic things at time j, and xi a parallel variable up to one if thing I is picked. A twofold program for the issue peruses:

max_n_i=1 pixi:_i∈ S j wixi≤ C, j = s1, . . . ,sn, xi ∈ , i = 1, . . . ,n_.

Multi-handler knapsack problem: The Multi-Handler knapsack problem beneath Uncertainty might be another stochastic knapsack problem wherever, given a gathering of things, portrayed by volume and arbitrary benefit, and an accumulation of potential handlers, we might want to search out an arrangement of things that expands the normal aggregate benefit. The thing benefit is given by the aggregate of a settled benefit and a stochastic benefit in light of the irregular dealing with costs of the handlers. Unexpectedly of various stochastic issues inside the writing, the probability appropriation of the stochastic benefit is obscure.

Bi-level knapsack problem: In bi-level advancement the {decision|the choice} factors are part into 2 groups that are controlled by 2 choice makers known as pioneer (on the upper level)and devotee (on the lower level).Both chiefs have their very own target capacity and an accumulation of requirements on their factors. in addition, there are coupling limitations that interface the decision factors of pioneer and supporter. the decision making technique is as per the following. To begin with, the pioneer settles on his choice and fixes the estimations of his factors, and in this way the devotee responds by setting his factors. The pioneer has fantastic data of the adherent's circumstance (target capacity and requirements) and furthermore of the devotee's conduct. The supporter watches the pioneer's activity, thus advances his own target work subject to the decisions made by the pioneer (and subject to the forced constraints).As the pioneer's target capacity will depend on the adherent's choice, the pioneer should the devotee's response into thought. Bi-level streamlining might be an uncommon instance of the general multilevel improvement problem, that arrangements with a pecking order of chiefs at a discretional number of levels.

stochastic knapsack problem: The possibility of spatial game plan hearty streamlining was at first presented in1958 by Scarf [27] who named the strategy scaled down max stochastic programming. though the primary application was one thing newsvendor problem, the fluctuate of uses this technique was fairly limited as a result of numerical troubles experienced when unraveling substantial occurrences. As of late, predominantly due to the improvement of sparing inside point calculations for comprehending SDPs and perhaps also due to the new "distributional hearty" disparagement, the strategy has moved toward becoming to a great degree popular.Large scale 0-1 knapsack problem: Combinatorial enhancement might be a scientific advancement or practicability program to search out an ideal protest from a limited arrangement of items.

Among them, 0– 1 knapsack problem is the most illustrative set and it includes fundamental applications in various fields, and in addition processing plant area problem, generation programming problem, task problem and reliability problem. so it's pulled in a decent arrangement of consideration and been broadly contemplated inside the past couple of decades. Numerically, the 0– 1 knapsack problem in its standard sort can be communicated as:

Worldly knapsack problem: Temporal knapsack problem (TKP) might be a speculation of the quality knapsack problem wherever a period skyline is considered, and each thing devours the knapsack limit all through a confined interim exclusively. The TKP is formally plot as takes after. a gathering of n things is given, the I-th of that has estimate wi, a benefit pi , and is dynamic exclusively all through a period interim [si, ti). An arrangement of things should be stuffed into a knapsack of limit C to such an extent that the entire benefit is expanded and furthermore the knapsack limit isn't surpassed anytime. in order to fulfill the past request, it's sufficient to force that a limit imperative is fulfilled toward the starting time si of each thing I. Let S j:= signify the arrangement of dynamic things at time j, and xi a parallel variable up to one if thing I is picked. A twofold program for the issue peruses:

Max f(x) = ∑_(i=1)^n▒pixi

X ἑ , i=1,2,3,…..,n

where n is the assortment of things. each thing I has a benefit value pi and a volume value vi. Vmax indicates the volume limit of the knapsack. xi speaks to the condition of the thing I and is confined to either zero or one. In the event that the thing I is put into the knapsack, xi is set to one, generally, 0. each thing could likewise be picked and no more once and can't be set inside the knapsack incompletely.

Fleeting knapsack problem: Temporal knapsack problem (TKP) might be a speculation of the quality knapsack problem wherever a period skyline is considered, and each thing expends the knapsack limit all through a confined interim exclusively. The TKP is formally laid out as takes after. a gathering of n things is given, the I-th of that has estimate wi, a benefit pi , and is dynamic exclusively all through a period interim [si, ti). An arrangement of things should be pressed into a knapsack of limit C with the end goal that the entire benefit is expanded and furthermore the knapsack limit isn't surpassed anytime. in order to fulfill the past request, it's sufficient to force that a limit limitation is fulfilled toward the starting time si of each thing I. Let S j:= indicate the arrangement of dynamic things at time j, and xi a twofold factor up to one if thing I is picked. A twofold program for the issue peruses: max_n_i=1 pixi:_i∈S j wixi≤ C, j = s1, . . . ,sn, xi ∈ , I = 1, . . . ,n.

1.2 Problem statement

The knapsack problem is one among the standard check problems utilized as a part of execution examination of independent advancement calculations. Till date, sizable measure of calculations is received to determine knapsack problem. amid this task, another meta-heuristic algorithmic program is proposed to determine the knapsack problem. The execution of the anticipated algorithmic program are explored on entirely unexpected benchmark issues taken from writing and contrasted with the execution of some outstanding calculations.

1.3 Objectives

The goals of this examination work are given as beneath.

- To connected a fresh out of the box new meta-heuristic calculation for finding the established knapsack problem.
- To fuse worldwide improvement methodology for upgrading the ideal answer.
- To hybridize the present calculation to accomplish powerful and proficient answer or knapsack problem.

1.4 Methodology

To design the knapsack algorithmic program through charged system search, first of all data is collected from totally different meta-heuristic algorithms. once coming up with the algorithmic program through charged system search we'll compare it with totally different algorithms like ASO, MMBO, PSO etc which can prove that applying charged system search on knapsack problem offers better results as compared to alternative compared algorithms.

1.5 Organization

The association of this examination work is given underneath:

- Introduction: This part exhibits the knapsack problem. Further, fluctuated types of knapsack problems are specified amid this part. the objective of the work is moreover introduced.
- Literature Survey: This part exhibits the associated work in the division of knapsack problem.
- Experiments/Proposed Work: This part portrays the transient depiction of the theory and objectives of the task.
- Conclusions: This section depicts surmisings from the entire procedure concerning what has been found, or chose, and furthermore the effect of these discoveries or decisions.

- References: This part portrays every one of the sources used in the exploration work.

Chapter-2: Literature Survey

This section portrays the current associated work for assurance the knapsack problem. huge quantities of meta-heuristic calculations are connected to search out the ideal response for knapsack problem. Further, the different target capacities are created for finding the knapsack speedily and viably. it's moreover watched that area data idea is furthermore joined in meta-heuristic algorithmic program to acknowledge higher determination for knapsack. The current investigations on knapsack are featured as beneath.

Modified shuffled leap algorithm - Bhattacharjee and Sarmah have connected changed rearranged jump algorithmic run for finding the 0-1 knapsack problem [1]. The SFLA algorithmic administer is aroused through the conduct of frog. amid this work, to help the unique property and moreover defeat local optima problem of SFLA, a hereditary transformation administrator is joined in SFLA calculation. 2 knapsack problems are thought of to judge the execution of the anticipated calculation. Results demonstrate that the proposed calculation offers preferable outcomes over various calculations.

Cohort Intelligence algorithm for finding 0-1 knapsack problem - Kukarni and Shabir have examined the congruity of the Cohort Intelligence calculation for discovering 0-1 knapsack problem [2]. This calculation depends on the self-regulated learning conduct of the hopeful in companion. The term accomplice are frequently pondered as a pack of hopefuls cooperating and focused with each other to acknowledge shared objective. The execution of the anticipated calculation is estimated utilizing twenty experiments and contrasted and totally extraordinary meta-heuristics calculation like amicability seek, quantum motivated cuckoo look, quantum roused concordance seek. it's accounted for that the proposed accomplice insight calculation gives condition of workmanship results and it's powerful and productive calculation for tackling knapsack problem.

Feng et al., have connected multi-technique ruler butterfly change calculation for finding marked down 0-1 knapsack problem [3]. to help the worldwide and nearby hunt aptitudes of the MBO calculation, 2 procedures are proposed. the worldwide inquiry is enhanced utilizing neighborhood change with swarming technique. For upgrading the local pursuit and defeat the untimely union problem of MBO calculation, an area look methodology upheld gaussian annoyance is connected. Further, it's watched that relocation and butterfly changing administrators are thought of to keep the populace uniform. The aftereffects of the proposed calculation are tried on thirty DKP examples with utilizing 3 classes like inconsequential, slight related and effectively identified with cases. The trial aftereffects of the proposed calculation are contrasted and other six meta-heuristic calculations. it's seen that proposed improvements expanded the inclination of the MBO calculation and furthermore offers ideal response for discovering DKP problems.

Gao et al., have connected a quantum motivated manufactured safe framework for illuminating multi target 0-1 knapsack problem [4]. The calculation comprises of a quantum motivated manufactured insusceptible framework (QAIS), bolstered Q-bit representation and a man-made invulnerable framework (BAIS), upheld paired delineation. Concealment and truncation calculations are 2 assorted variety plans with comparative people acclimated save the assortment of the populace. The proposed calculation is tried on 2 knapsack problem with two-fifty, five-hundred and seven-fifty things. At that point the trial comes about are contrasted and quantum-roused multi objective transformative calculation, cross breed quantum hereditary calculation, weight-based multi objective counterfeit insusceptible framework, resistant colonel calculation and it's seen that the proposed calculation displays better vicinity and assortment execution.

Zhang et al., connected a cross breed calculation upheld agreement investigate for discovering multi dimensional knapsack problems [5]. inside the proposed calculation, to strengthen the {global|the worldwide|the world} investigation a memory thought control and worldwide best pitch alteration subject is utilized. to contradiction the memory assorted variety, a parallel change methodology is used and the sky is the limit from there, for leveling the investigation and misuse, an organic product fly improvement conspire (FFO) is utilized. At that point for the test contemplate repair administrators and parameter settings are researched. The calculation is kept an eye on 3 totally unique experiments comprises of eight minor, eleven vast and twenty medium scale issues. The execution of the hhs calculation is contrasted and NGHS, ABHS, bFOA and results demonstrate that hhs is more down to earth and strong than various calculations.

Zhou et al., have examined the significance of enhanced monkey calculation for tackling 0-1 knapsack problem [6]. The calculation is gotten from mountain-climbing procedures of monkeys and comprises of 3 forms particularly the climb procedure that is intended to improve the objective capacity value, the watch hop process which may accelerate the merging rate and hence the somersault technique inside which monkeys acknowledge new pursuit areas to abstain from falling into local inquiry. The test examination is finished by utilizing close to nothing and huge scale knapsack problems. The test comes about are additionally contrasted and totally unique meta-heuristic calculations like double molecule swarm enhancement, changed twofold molecule swarm streamlining and so on and comes about demonstrate that the proposed calculation has strong favorable circumstances in enhancing the practicability, adjusting the infeasible answer of 0-1 knapsack problem.

Zhou et al., proposed a complex-esteemed encoding wind driven streamlining with an avaricious system for 0-1 knapsack problem [7]. to expand the assortment of populace and to stay away from untimely joining a successful worldwide advancement procedure and a covetous technique is utilized. Wind driven streamlining might be a novel nature electrifies procedure and an avaricious methodology is presented which will upgrade the nearby inquiry capacity and enhance the precision of results in 0-1 knapsack problem. 3 sorts of covetous ways are utilized specifically cost based avaricious methodology inside which the most extreme esteem products are first stacked inside the knapsack, capacity– based eager system inside which merchandise with least limit are stacked in knapsack and in unit esteem based procedure the arbitrary merchandise inside which rate is most elevated are stacked. The calculation is tried on 10 occasions of 0-1 knapsack problem each having 3 sorts of experiments. The assessed comes about are extra contrasted and complex esteemed cuckoo look, voracious hereditary calculation, molecule swarm streamlining and comes about demonstrate that the proposed wind driven improvement calculation is dependable and practical answer for taking care of 0-1 knapsack problem.

Gao et al., have connected a quantum roused counterfeit resistant framework for illuminating multi target 0-1 knapsack problem [4]. The calculation comprises of a quantum enlivened manufactured safe framework (QAIS), bolstered Q-bit outline and a man-made resistant framework (BAIS), upheld double representation. Concealment and truncation calculations are 2 decent variety plans with comparative people acclimated protect the assortment of the populace. The proposed calculation is tried on 2 knapsack problem with two-fifty, five-hundred and seven-fifty things. At that point the test comes about are contrasted and quantum-motivated multi objective transformative calculation, half breed quantum hereditary calculation, weight-based multi objective simulated resistant system, immune colonel algorithm and it's seen that the proposed algorithm exhibits better proximity and variety performance.

Zhang et al., applied an hybrid algorithm supported harmony explore for finding multi dimensional knapsack problems [5]. within the proposed algorithm, to reinforce the {global|the worldwide|the world} exploration a memory thought rule and global best pitch adjustment theme is employed. to counterpoint the memory diversity, a parallel change strategy is utilized and more, for leveling the exploration and exploitation, a fruit fly optimisation scheme (FFO) is used. Then for the experimental study repair operators and parameter settings are investigated. The algorithm is checked on 3 completely different test cases consists of eight tiny, eleven large and twenty medium scale issues. The performance of the hhs algorithm is compared with NGHS, ABHS, bFOA and results show that hhs is more practical and robust than different algorithms.

Zhou et al., have investigated the relevance of improved monkey algorithm for solving 0-1 knapsack problem [6]. The algorithm is derived from mountain-climbing processes of monkeys and consists of 3 processes specifically the climb process that is meant to enhance the target function price, the watch jump process which might speed up the convergence rate and therefore the somersault method within which monkeys realize new search domains to avoid falling into native search. The experimental analysis is completed by using little and large scale knapsack problems. The experimental results are further compared with completely different meta-heuristic algorithms like binary particle swarm optimisation, changed binary particle swarm optimisation etc and results show that the proposed algorithm has robust advantages in improving the practicability, correcting the infeasible answer of 0-1 knapsack problem.

Moosavian investigated the applicability to tackle optimisation issues in separate and continuous space for solving knapsack problem [8]. the essential plan of SLC is inspired by skilled football league and search method relies on the competitions among groups and players. within the proposed algorithm every player in a very league ,star player(SP) in every team and super star player(SSP) may be assumed as an answer vector and through the course of a season , every team plays each other team once and groups receive points by change their players. Then eighteen knapsack problems are thought of to testify the validity of football league competition. To perform higher analysis, SLC is compared with NGHS, BHS, DBPSO, ABHS and results show that SLC is economical and effective in terms of search accuracy, dependability and convergence speed for finding knapsack problems.

Chen et al., proposed evolutionary path relinking approach for solving the quadratic multiple knapsack problem about [9]. The proposed algorithm generalizes each the QKP and MKP by permitting multiple knapsacks and try wise profits between objects. A path relinking technique is applied to every pair of solutions in pair-set to generate intermediate solution wherever {the solution|the answer} beginning the trail is initiating answer and solution ending the trail is guiding solution. Then so as to spot increased solutions a neighborhood refinement methodology is employed by selecting one or many solutions. to judge the performance of EPR algorithm ninety knapsack problems are considered. Then final results are evaluated by scrutiny the proposed algorithm with TIG, SO, IRTS that shows that EPR competes terribly favorably with the state-of-art algorithms.

Wang et al., projected a meta-heuristic human learning improvement problem for solving multi dimensional knapsack drawback [10]. The projected algorithm is enforced on four learning operators particularly individual learning operator within which individuals learn issues supported its own expertise, social learning operator within which individuals learn from their own expertise as well as from the expertise of different members and any develop skills to attain higher potency, random exploration learning operator within which individuals strive new ways to boost their performance and re-learning operator within which the individual by re-learning get new expertise to boost their performance . to judge the performance of the proposed algorithm five.100 and 10.100 instances from the OR-library are taken as benchmark knapsack problems. The results are then compared with S-CLPSO, ant algorithm, ant system algorithm that shows that HLO is incredibly effective and extremely promising optimisation algorithm.

Haddar et al., proposed a hybrid linear programming heuristic for solving the 0-1 knapsack sharing problem [11]. The proposed algorithm combines an iterative linear programming-based heuristic(ILPH) that solves 0-1 mixed number programming and quantum particle swarm optimization(QPSO) that explores possible and unfeasible solutions to resolve the binary back pack sharing drawback. The results of the projected formula is tested on 2 instances: 1st one composed of 2 fourty instances of varied sizes and the different one composed of 1 eighty indiscriminately generated instances. when scrutiny the results with different meta-heuristic algorithm it's shown that the proposed algorithm is ready to realize a pleasant compromise between the answer quality and also the needed cpu time for finding the 0-1 knapsack sharing problem.

Azad et al., proposed a binary version of the synthetic fish swarm algorithm for finding 0-1 multi dimensional knapsack problems [12]. within the proposed technique a decoding answer is employed to create the unfeasible answers possible and so implementing an easy heuristic add_item to boost the standard of that solution. to judge the results six benchmark sets of 0-1 multidimensional knapsack problems with fifty 5 instances are considered. Then the proposed algorithm is compared with CPLEX, MIP, GA, bAFSA, GADS, ibAFSA and results show that the improved artificial fish swarm is incredibly effective, economical and promising optimisation algorithm.

Pal et al., proposed an improved genetic algorithm to resolve affected knapsack problem in fuzzy environment [13]. The proposed algorithm is resolved using 2 kinds of fuzzy systems, one is credibility measure {in which|during which|within which} fuzzy variables are hierarchic in terms of optimistic and demoralised prices and another is hierarchic mean integration approach which relies on the integral value of hierarchic mean. The algorithm is tested on fifty, hundred, one fifty and 200 knapsack objects. Then the experimental results are compared with DPGA-ED, DPGA, PDGA, IMGA and results show that the proposed algorithm provides higher results for finding knapsack drawback in fuzzy surroundings.

Buddy et al., proposed an enhanced hereditary calculation to determine influenced knapsack problem in fluffy condition [13]. The proposed calculation is settled utilizing 2 sorts of fluffy frameworks, one is validity measure {in which|during which|within which} fluffy factors are hierarchic regarding idealistic and crippled costs and another is hierarchic mean coordination approach which depends on the essential estimation of hierarchic mean. The calculation is tried on fifty, hundred, one fifty and 200 knapsack objects. At that point the exploratory outcomes are contrasted and DPGA-ED, DPGA, PDGA, IMGA and results demonstrate that the proposed calculation gives higher outcomes to discovering knapsack disadvantage in fluffy environment.

Chih et al., proposed a molecule swarm change with time-fluctuating quickening coefficients for the multi dimensional knapsack problem [14]. 2 novel PSO calculations are proposed to determine the multi dimensional knapsack problem especially parallel PSO with time-changing quickening coefficients (BPSOTVAC) and clamorous double PSO with time-shifting speeding up coefficients (CBPSOTVAC). The proposed calculation is tried on 100 sixteen multi dimensional knapsack problems. The test comes about are contrasted and MBPSO, CBPSO1 and results demonstrate that the anticipated calculation is more beneficial than elective calculations as far as progress rate, mean outright deviation, mean supreme extent mistake, minimum blunder and difference.

Chih anticipated a totally exceptional self-versatile check and repair administrator joined with molecule swarm change to determine multidimensional knapsack problem [15]. to beat the disadvantages of PSO recipe 2 administrators are utilized in particular: check and repair administrator (CRO) that proselytes unfeasible response to conceivable one and another is self-versatile check and repair administrator (SACRO). The anticipated calculation utilizes 2 calculations to determine the multidimensional knapsack problem i.e. BPSOTVAC and CBPSOTVAC that figures the pseudo-utility proportions, benefit weight utility and benefit thickness. The anticipated equation is tried on one thirty seven multidimensional knapsack issues. The exploratory outcomes are contrasted and diverse meta-heuristic equations that demonstrates that the anticipated calculation is extra focused and solid than various calculations.

Kong et al., planned a brand new binary harmony search algorithm for finding massive scale multidimensional knapsack problem [18]. The planned algorithm introduces the thought of mean harmony within the harmony memory thought that is predicated on the likelihood distribution of zero and one. during this algorithm is an inspired pitch adjustment scheme while not parameter specification is dead and so to ensure the availability of harmonies within the harmony memory an easy repair operator is derived. The experimental results are performed on 2 seventy large-scale multidimensional knapsack problems. The evaluated results are compared with QIHS, PIR, GA that shows that the proposed algorithm is robust and effective for finding the big scale multidimensional knapsack problem.

Martinez et al., check the applicability of tabu-enhanced iterated greedy algorithm in finding quadratic knapsack problem [19]. The planned algorithm consists of assignment a group of objects disjunctively to a group of knapsacks with the aim of increasing the whole sum of profits, subject to capacity constraints. The experimental results are performed on forty quadratic knapsack problems. The experimental results are then compared with totally different meta-heuristic algorithms like HJ-SHC, HJ-GCA, SB-SSGGA and SS-ABC and therefore the results show that the proposed algorithm offers higher solution in the majority cases for finding quadratic knapsack problem.

Lv et al., planned a greedy degree and expectation potency for finding 0-1 knapsack problem [20]. The proposed algorithm is intended by a greedy strategy during which some things are chosen to place into knapsack early and additionally these things are ne'er removed. Then a dynamic expectation potency strategy is proposed to pick some remaining things to place into the knapsack and a static expectation potency strategy is presented because the benchmark to update the candidate objective function. Around sixty 5 knapsack problems are considered for the analysis. The experimental results are compared with MDSFL, CROG that shows that the proposed algorithm is far more practical in finding 0-1 knapsack problem than other algorithms.

Patvardhan et al., planned a quantum inspired evolutionary algorithm for finding 0-1 quadratic knapsack problem [21]. The planned algorithm with success balances the conflicting objectives of providing close to optimum solutions whereas keeping the process price low. QIEA uses the qubit vector to represent the probabilistic state of individual and belongs to the category of population-based stochastic evolutionary algorithms. The fitness is computed and therefore the qubit string is updated towards higher likelihood of manufacturing strings just like the one with highest fitness. The analysis is finished on hundred knapsack problems. The evaluated results are compared with abc, AFSA that shows that the proposed algorithm outperforms them in terms of each the {number|the amount|the quantity} of hits created to best and number of function evaluations needed to achieve the optimum.

He et al., investigates the novel binary artificial bee colony algorithm for solving set-union knapsack problem [22]. The algorithm is proposed by adopting a mapping function and so a greedy repairing and optimisation algorithm is employed for handling unfeasible solutions. 3 types of set-union knapsack problems are thought of to guage the results. The experimental results are then compared with GA, BABC, abc and also the results verify that the proposed approach is considerably superior to the baseline evolutionary algorithms for finding set-union knapsack problem.

Baykasoglu et al., proposed an improved firefly algorithm for finding multidimensional knapsack problems [23]. This improved version of firefly algorithm is applicable to each stationary and dynamic environment. The proposed algorithm could be a population primarily based meta-heuristic algorithmic program that simulates the flashing and communication behavior of fireflies and uses 2 operators namely: mutation operator that is assumed because the distinction of the willy-nilly chosen people and another one is choice operator which provides equal possibilities to all or any trial vectors generated from target vectors. 10 totally different multidimensional knapsack problems are thought of to judge the results. The evaluated results are then compared with alternative meta-heuristic algorithms and it's terminated that the improved fa is incredibly powerful algorithm for finding the multidimensional knapsack problems for each static and dynamic environments.

Truong et al., planned a brand new artificial chemical change optimisation algorithm with a greedy strategy to resolve 0-1 knapsack problem [24]. The planned algorithm is inspired from the reaction|chemical change|chemical action} process and is employed to implement the native and global search. 2 new operators are used namely: repair operator integration a greedy strategy and random choice operator used to repair the infeasible solution. Eight 0-1 knapsack problems are thought of to check the proposed algorithm. The results show that the proposed algorithm gives superior performance than alternative genetic algorithm and quantum-inspired evolutionary algorithm.

Azad et al., planned a simplified binary artificial fish swarm algorithm for finding 0-1 quadratic knapsack problem [16]. within the planned algorithm every trial purpose competes with the corresponding current and therefore the one with best fitness is passed to following iteration as a current purpose and so hamming distance is employed to spot the purposes within the visual scope of every individual point. The proposed algorithm is evaluated on eighty benchmark 0-1 quadratic knapsack problem. The experimental results are then compared with GGA, B&B, mini-swarm and results show that the planned algorithm is incredibly effective, economical algorithm.

Kong et al., planned a simplified harmony search algorithm for finding massive scale 0-1 knapsack problem [17]. within the planned algorithm solely 2 parameters are used i.e., hmS and HMCR that must be set and an inventive improvisation rule is introduced supported the distinction between the most effective harmony and one arbitrarily chosen harmony stored within the HM to implement pitch adjustment. to ensure the practicability of the solutions, a 2 stage greedy procedure is utilized to repair the unfeasible resolution vectors emerged within the hm. to judge the performance of the planned algorithmic program 10 low-dimensional and sixteen large-scale 0-1 rucksack issues are thought of. The evaluated results are then compared with BHS, SAHS, ITHS, ABHS that shows that the planned algorithm will acquire higher solutions in the majority cases for finding large-scale 0-1 knapsack problem.

Lv et al., planned a greedy degree and expectation potency for finding 0-1 knapsack problem [20]. The proposed algorithm is intended by a greedy strategy during which some things are chosen to place into knapsack early and additionally these things are ne'er removed. Then a dynamic expectation potency strategy is proposed to pick some remaining things to place into the knapsack and a static expectation potency strategy is presented because the benchmark to update the candidate objective function. Around sixty 5 knapsack problems are considered for the analysis. The experimental results are compared with MDSFL, CROG that shows that the proposed algorithm is far more practical in finding 0-1 knapsack problem than other algorithms.

Patvardhan et al., planned a quantum inspired evolutionary algorithm for finding 0-1 quadratic knapsack problem [21]. The planned algorithm with success balances the conflicting objectives of providing close to optimum solutions whereas keeping the process price low. QIEA uses the qubit vector to represent the probabilistic state of individual and belongs to the category of population-based stochastic evolutionary algorithms. The fitness is computed and therefore the qubit string is updated towards higher likelihood of manufacturing strings just like the one with highest fitness. The analysis is finished on hundred knapsack problems. The evaluated results are compared with abc, AFSA that shows that the proposed algorithm outperforms them in terms of each the {number|the amount|the quantity} of hits created to best and number of function evaluations needed to achieve the optimum.

He et al., investigates the novel binary artificial bee colony algorithm for solving set-union knapsack problem [22]. The algorithm is proposed by adopting a mapping function and so a greedy repairing and optimisation algorithm is employed for handling unfeasible solutions. 3 types of set-union knapsack problems are thought of to guage the results. The experimental results are then compared with GA, BABC, abc and also the results verify that the proposed approach is considerably superior to the baseline evolutionary algorithms for finding set-union knapsack problem.

Baykasoglu et al., proposed an improved firefly algorithm for finding multidimensional knapsack problems [23]. This improved version of firefly algorithm is applicable to each stationary and dynamic environment. The proposed algorithm could be a population primarily based meta-heuristic algorithmic program that simulates the flashing and communication behavior of fireflies and uses 2 operators namely: mutation operator that is assumed because the distinction of the willy-nilly chosen people and another one is choice operator which provides equal possibilities to all or any trial vectors generated from target vectors. 10 totally different multidimensional knapsack problems are thought of to judge the results. The evaluated results are then compared with alternative meta-heuristic algorithms and it's terminated that the improved fa is incredibly powerful algorithm for finding the multidimensional knapsack problems for each static and dynamic environments.

Truong et al., planned a brand new artificial chemical change optimisation algorithm with a greedy strategy to resolve 0-1 knapsack problem [24]. The planned algorithm is inspired from the reaction|chemical change|chemical action} process and is employed to implement the native and global search. 2 new operators are used namely: repair operator integration a greedy strategy and random choice operator used to repair the infeasible solution. Eight 0-1 knapsack problems are thought of to check the proposed algorithm. The results show that the proposed algorithm gives superior performance than alternative genetic algorithm and quantum-inspired evolutionary algorithm.

Zhang et al., projected a meta-heuristic artificial protoctist rule for finding multidimensional knapsack problems [25]. The projected rule consists of distinct method that consists of 2 logistical functions with totally different coefficients of curve, repair operator that are performed to form the solution possible and increase its potency then native elite search is employed to boost the standard of solutions. The experimental results ar performed on ninety four multidimensional knapsack problems. The algorithm is then compared with MBPSO, BPSOTVAC, CBPSOTVAC, GADS and results show that the proposed algorithm is robust and achieve higher numerical performance.

Liu et al., projected a binary differential search algorithm for finding 0-1 multidimensional knapsack problem [26]. The projected algorithm is based on stochastic search and guided by a brownian motion. 2 main operations are thought-about namely: discrete resolution generating is realised through group action a pedesis with associate degree number miscalculation operation and possible resolution creating is employed to take care of the practicability of the rounded distinct variables. 3 sets i.e.: 10 issues of little, thirty issues of medium and hundred issues of huge sized 0-1 knapsack problems are thought-about. The numerical results are then compared with MBPSO, CBPSOCTVA that indicate that our proposed algorithm outperforms those meta-heuristic ways and has the aptitude to solve giant scale 0-1 multidimensional knapsacks.

Feng et al., projected a chaotic monarch butterfly optimisation algorithm with gaussian mutation for finding 0-1 knapsack problem [27]. The projected algorithm aims at accelerating optimisation and up world search capability. In CMBO every monarch butterfly individual is represented by a two-tuple. 2 totally different chaotic MBO is meant namely: standardisation of the ratio of monarch butterflies and monarch butterfly adjusting rate. The proposed algorithm is tested on twelve knapsack problems. Then the experimental results are compared with eight state of art algorithms namely: abc,CS,DE,GA,FA,SFLA,HS,MBO and results show that the chaotic monarch butterfly algorithm is superior in finding 0-1 knapsack problem.

Zhou et al., projected a novel advanced valued cryptography bat algorithm for finding the 0-1 knapsack problem [28]. The projected algorithm maps one-dimensional cryptography area and to extend the range of bat population, for every individual bat, the real and {imaginary part|imaginary part of a complex number|pure imaginary number} of complex are updated individually that results in inherent correspondence. Twenty 0-1 knapsack problems are thought-about to judge the results of algorithm. Then the projected algorithm is compared to a few alternative algorithms namely: GGA, GPSO, BA that shows that the complex-valued encoding bat algorithm is a lot of valid and stable than alternative algorithms.

Meng and pan projected an improved fruit fly optimisation algorithm for finding multidimensional knapsack problem [29]. The projected algorithm is {utilized} to balance exploitation and exploration and therefore a changed harmony search algorithm (MHS) is proposed and utilized to feature communication among swarms in IFFOA. a unique vertical crossover is meant to forestall dimensions of the individual from sound into the native optimum. Eight tiny, eleven medium scale multidimensional knapsack problems are thought-about to guage the result. Results show that the projected rule is healthier than alternative meta-heuristic algorithm like ABHS, NGHS, bFOA in terms of effectiveness and aggressiveness for finding multidimensional knapsack problems.

Meng et al., projected an improved migrating birds optimisation rule for finding the multidimensional knapsack algorithm [30]. within the projected paper, to make sure the initial swarm with a particular level of quality and variety, some purposeful solutions are created whereas alternative people are arbitrarily generated and every bird within the swarm represents an answer to MKP. A repair operator is required for the new generated candidates who don't take into account practicability and violate constraints within the MKP. to analyze the proposed algorithm 2 benchmark instances ar thought-about i.e. eight tiny, eleven medium and eleven giant multidimensional knapsack problems are thought-about. Results show that the proposed IMBO is a good various to solve the MKP particularly for large-scale problems as compared to alternative meta-heuristic algorithms.

Mavrotas et al., projected an improved version of a core based mostly rule for the multi-objective multi-dimensional knapsack problem [32]. The projected rule will effectively handle issues with quite 2 a lot of objective functions and it's options that greatly accelerate the answer method. The key parameters of this rule is that the size of the core and variety of provided cores. The analysis is finished by mistreatment hundred multi-dimensional knapsack problems. The results ar then compared with organic process algorithms: NSGA-II, SPEA2, MOEA/D that shows that the projected rule offers superior performance than alternative algorithms.

Lori et al., projected a multidimensional knapsack problem with min-max regret criterion below interval profits [33]. The projected rule aims to seek out a strong solution that minimizes the regret and may be a generalization of the MKP during which all profit coefficients will take any price from a corresponding given interval severally. The rule is resolved using 3 techniques: a heuristic rule, 2 approaches supported benders like decomposition and branch-and-cut, iterated twin substitution (IDS) rule. Eighteen multidimensional knapsack problems are thought-about to guage the result. Results show that the IDS methodology provides best higher bounds, provide precise optimum solutions to all of the instances whose optimum values are referred to as compared to alternative tested algorithms.

Zhang et al., projected a meta-heuristic artificial protoctist rule for finding multidimensional knapsack problems [25]. The projected rule consists of distinct method that consists of 2 logistical functions with totally different coefficients of curve, repair operator that are performed to form the solution possible and increase its potency then native elite search is employed to boost the standard of solutions. The experimental results ar performed on ninety four multidimensional knapsack problems. The algorithm is then compared with MBPSO, BPSOTVAC, CBPSOTVAC, GADS and results show that the proposed algorithm is robust and achieve higher numerical performance.

Liu et al., projected a binary differential search algorithm for finding 0-1 multidimensional knapsack problem [26]. The projected algorithm is based on stochastic search and guided by a brownian motion. 2 main operations are thought-about namely: discrete resolution generating is realised through group action a pedesis with associate degree number miscalculation operation and possible resolution creating is employed to take care of the practicability of the rounded distinct variables. 3 sets i.e.: 10 issues of little, thirty issues of medium and hundred issues of huge sized 0-1 knapsack problems are thought-about. The numerical results are then compared with MBPSO, CBPSOCTVA that indicate that our proposed algorithm outperforms those meta-heuristic ways and has the aptitude to solve giant scale 0-1 multidimensional knapsacks.

Feng et al., projected a chaotic monarch butterfly optimisation algorithm with gaussian mutation for finding 0-1 knapsack problem [27]. The projected algorithm aims at accelerating optimisation and up world search capability. In CMBO every monarch butterfly individual is represented by a two-tuple. 2 totally different chaotic MBO is meant namely: standardisation of the ratio of monarch butterflies and monarch butterfly adjusting rate. The proposed algorithm is tested on twelve knapsack problems. Then the experimental results are compared with eight state of art algorithms namely: abc,CS,DE,GA,FA,SFLA,HS,MBO and results show that the chaotic monarch butterfly algorithm is superior in finding 0-1 knapsack problem.

Basset et al., proposed a nature roused meta-heuristic whale enhancement calculation for tackling single and multidimensional 0-1 knapsack problem [34]. In the proposed a punishment work is added to the assessment work so the wellness of the attainable arrangements can beat the wellness of the infeasible ones. A two phase repair administrator is utilized for taking care of the infeasible arrangements. This calculation can give a superior tradeoff between the enhancement and the heightening by utilizing two techniques to be specific: nearby pursuit system and demand flight strolls. The proposed calculation is tried on little scale and extensive scale 0-1 knapsack problems. At that point the test comes about are contrasted and other meta-heuristic calculations, for example, MPSO, BPSO, HGA, GPSGA, HBDE which demonstrates that the proposed calculation is powerful, proficient and hearty for taking care of 0-1 knapsack problem.

Avci and topaloglu proposed a multi-begin iterated nearby scan calculation for the summed up quadratic various knapsack problem [35]. The proposed calculation comprises of three essential segments: an underlying arrangement creating component, a change system and an annoyance instrument which is utilized to escape from neighborhood minima. The assessment is performed on thirty five quadratic various knapsack problems. Results demonstrate that the proposed multi-begin iterated nearby inquiry calculation is predominant, successful, productive and powerful than other meta-heuristic calculations.

Wang et al., proposed a novel paired natural product fly advancement calculation for tackling multidimensional knapsack problem [36]. The proposed calculation is propelled from the information from scrounging conduct of organic products flies and a double string is utilized to speak to the arrangement of the MKP. Three primary hunt forms are intended to perform transformative inquiry to be specific: smell based pursuit, nearby vision based inquiry and worldwide vision based pursuit process. Two repair administrators are utilized to ensure the possibility of arrangements. To test the execution of the proposed calculation two benchmark occurrences are utilized i.e., eight little, eleven medium and eleven huge scale multidimensional knapsack problems. Results demonstrate that the proposed calculation is more powerful in taking care of substantial scale multidimensional knapsack problem than other meta-heuristic calculation.

Caprara et al., tackled the transient knapsack problem utilizing recursive dantzig-wolfe reformulation [37]. The nonexclusive thought of recursive DWR is to tackle a blended number program by recursively applying DWR. The proposed calculation can be actualized utilizing two methodology: branch and value which is a profundity first pursuit strategy and DW_BOUND which restores the ideal arrangement and comparing esteem. Two hundred knapsack problems are considered to assess the outcome. The assessed comes about are contrasted and CFM which demonstrates that the proposed calculation is viable in understanding hard occasions that couldn't be understood by different calculations.

Glover et al., proposed a key swaying procedure for taking care of quadratic different knapsack problem [38]. The proposed calculation works by situating moves in connection to a basic level, as recognized by a phase of development or a picked interim of capacity esteems. A basic level speaks to a point where strategy would ordinarily stop. Distinctive procedures are utilized for the hunt procedure to venture to every part of the wavering limit specifically: greatest knapsack limit and intangibility. Forty knapsack problems are considered for assessment. Results demonstrate that the proposed calculation can get great outcome quicker than other meta-heuristic calculations since its mean qualities are unrivaled, more powerful to solve knapsack problem.

Mansour and alaya proposed a marker based subterranean insect settlement streamlining for taking care of multi-target knapsack problem [39]. The calculation utilizes double quality markers to manage the scan for fake ants and after that utilization the pointer improvement rule to fortify the best arrangement by remunerating pheromone trails. Two primary markers are utilized for the investigation reason to be specific: epsilon pointer and hyper-volume pointer. Nine occurrences of analyses are performed in blend with two fifty, five hundred and seven fifty knapsack things. Results demonstrate that the proposed result is measurably critical than other relative calculations.

Perboli et al., explored a multi-handler knapsack problem under vulnerability [40]. In the proposed calculation, the thing benefits are arbitrary factors and they are made out of a deterministic benefit in addition to an irregular term, which speak to the benefit swaying because of the taking care of cost happened by the diverse handlers for getting ready things for stacking. The likelihood dissemination of these irregular terms are obscure in light of the situations received by the handlers. Fifty knapsack problems are considered for assessment. Results demonstrate that the proposed calculation gives promising outcomes on an extensive arrangement of examples in immaterial processing time when contrasted with other meta-heuristic calculations.

Troung et al., proposed a concoction response advancement with voracious methodology for taking care of 0-1 knapsack problem [41]. The proposed calculation has a decent looking capacity that shows incredible tasks in two critical highlights of improvement meta-heuristics: strengthening and expansion. The calculation has the benefit of GA by utilizing hybrid administrator and transformation administrator. Fifty knapsack problems are considered to assess the execution of the proposed calculation. Results demonstrate that the proposed calculation has prevalent execution when contrasted and ACO, GA and QEA for all proposed test occasions.

Caprara et al., researched consider on the computational multifaceted nature of the bi-level knapsack problem [42]. In bi-level streamlining the choice factors are part into two gatherings that are controlled by two chiefs called pioneer which has culminate information of devotee's activity and adherent which watches pioneer's activity. There are coupling capacities for interfacing the choice factors. Fifty knapsack problems are considered to assess the execution. Results demonstrate that the computational multifaceted nature of the bi-level knapsack problem is more prevalent, compelling and effective in tackling bi-level knapsack problem.
Cheng et al., proposed a distributionally hearty adaptation of quadratic knapsack problem [43]. In the proposed calculation it is accepted that lone piece of the data on arbitrary information is known. For the knapsack problem with a solitary knapsack imperative, when data is restricted to mean, covariance and support of the unverifiable information, it is demonstrated that the problem diminishes to a semi-positive program subsequent to applying the SDP based unwinding plan to the paired limitations. Twenty knapsack problems are considered to assess the aftereffect of the proposed calculation. Results demonstrate that the proposed calculation can be connected to countless enhancement problems with twofold factors.

Peng et al., proposed a launch chain approach for taking care of quadratic different knapsack problem [44]. Avaricious and irregular administrators are implanted in the proposed discharge chain nearby inquiry. The proposed calculation is started by choosing components to experience a difference in state and further unequivocally recognizes a reference structure which is like yet somewhat not the same as an answer. The structure of the ECA comprises of three stages: introductory arrangement development, launch chain neighborhood seek and versatile bother.

The execution of ECA is tried on sixty knapsack problems. Results demonstrate that the proposed calculation is more unrivaled, proficient and viable than other analyzed meta-heuristic calculations.

Drake et al., proposed a hereditary programming hyper-heuristic for tackling the multidimensional knapsack problem [45]. The proposed calculation researches the appropriateness of hereditary programming to advance reusable useful heuristics for the multidimensional knapsack problem. Two seventy knapsack problems are considered to assess the execution. The calculation is superior to anything others as it can work on a hunt space of heuristics as opposed to seek space of arrangements.

Nakbi et al., proposed a half breed lagrangian look insect state advancement calculation for taking care of multidimensional knapsack problem [46]. The thought is to utilize the arrangements got by the lagrangian heuristic to direct ants in their hunt of good ways by laying pheromone trails. Twenty multidimensional knapsack problems are considered to assess the execution of the calculation. Results demonstrate that LSACO find quite often the ideal answer for little occasions when contrasted with other meta-heuristic calculations.

Haddar et al., proposed another half and half heuristic quantum molecule swarm advancement for taking care of the multidimensional knapsack problem [47]. The proposed calculation consolidates a heuristic repair administrator that utilizations problem-particular learning rather than the punishment work system generally utilized for compelled problems. To test the execution of the proposed calculation two seventy multidimensional knapsack problems are considered. Results demonstrate that the calculation can create arrangements of good quality in a short and sensible measure of calculation time when contrasted with other cutting edge heuristic strategies.

Ozel et al., proposed an incorporated fluffy knapsack problem display for arrange determination in a bread kitchen [48]. The proposed calculation is another philosophy worried about request choice problems in pastry shop firms with restricted assembling limit, together with simultaneous assurance of generation arranging as per plan subordinate setup costs. The calculation centers around choosing the requests for manufacture to boost the income came about because of the creation of chose orders. Thirty knapsack problems are considered to assess the execution of the proposed calculation. Results demonstrate that the calculation is an essential apparatus for the request determination problem in heating industry when contrasted with other looked at calculations.

Douri and hifi proposed an expanded technique for fathoming multi-situations max-min knapsack problem [49]. The proposed technique depends on three stages: the building stage which yields an achievable arrangement by utilizing a ravenous strategy, the blend stage which tries to give another arrangement by consolidating subsets of beginning arrangements and the investigating stage which tries to influence an increase with a specific end goal to enhance the current arrangements. The test investigation is done on two gathering of max-min knapsack examples. The trial comes about are contrasted and BA, MSKP, ACO which demonstrates that the proposed calculation yields top notch answers for taking care of max-min knapsack problem.

Chen and hao proposed a memetic scan for taking care of summed up quadratic knapsack problem [50]. The proposed calculation consolidates a spine based hybrid administrator and a multi-neighborhood recreated strengthening method and further utilizes a quality-and-separation pool refreshing methodology. Ninety six quadratic various knapsack problems are considered to assess the execution of the proposed calculation. Results demonstrate that the proposed calculation is more unrivaled, powerful, proficient in taking care of quadratic various knapsack problem when contrasted with other looked at calculations.

Salem et al., proposed a probabilistic tabu scan with different neighborhoods for taking care of disjunctively compelled knapsack problem [51]. The calculation depends on techniques intended to cross limits of possibility or neighborhood optimality. TS begins from an underlying arrangement, attainable or infeasible, and moves iteratively from one answer for its neighbor until a picked end basis is fulfilled. The proposed calculation is assessed on fifty disjunctively obliged knapsack problems. Results uncover that the proposed tabu pursuit strategy outflanks a best in class approach in tackling disjunctively compelled knapsack problem.

CHAPTER-3: System Development

This part depicts the charged system look for figuring [52]. It is a current meta-heuristic figuring in light of the charged particles. CSS is a multi-expert approach in which each administrator is a charged particle (CP). CPs can impact each other in perspective of their health regards and their parcel partitions. The measure of the resultant power is directed by using the electrostatics laws and the idea of the improvement is settled using Newtonian mechanics laws. CSS can be utilized as a part of all improvement fields; especially it is sensible for non-smooth or non-angled spaces. CSS needs neither the edge information nor the congruity of the request space. The alluring charged system look for (CSS) relies upon Coulomb and Gauss laws from electrical material science and the speaking to laws of development from the Newtonian mechanics. In this estimation, each administrator is a charged atom (CP). Each CP is considered as a charged circle which applies an electric power on various CPs according to Coulomb and Gauss laws. The charged memory (CM) is used to save a portion of the best game plans up to the cycle. The better new courses of action are consolidated into the CM and the most exceedingly terrible ones are banished from the CM. The essential steps of the CSS count are given underneath:

Stage 1: Initialization

In this movement, starting spots of charge particles (CPs) are perceived in d-dimensional space in discretionary demand and basic paces of CPs are set to 0. A variable CM (charge memory) is used to hold the best position of CPs.

Stage 2: Compute the aggregate power (Ftotal) follows up on CPs.

The total power is enlisted by joining both of electric and appealing forces. It impacts the improvement of CPs in d-dimensional space.

Decide the electric power – when a CP moves in d-dimensional space, an electric field is made and it powers an electric power on various CPs. In MCSS, this power is enlisted using condition

$$E_k = q_k \sum_{i,i\neq k} \left(\frac{q_i}{R^3} * w_1 + \frac{q_i}{r_{ki}^2} * w_2 \right) * p_{ki} * (X_i - X_k), \begin{cases} k = 1, 2, 3, \ldots\ldots K \\ w_1 = 1, w_2 = 0 \leftrightarrow r_{ki} < R \\ w_1 = 0, w_2 = 1 \leftrightarrow r_{ki} \geq R \end{cases} \tag{2}$$

In condition 2, qi and qk address the health estimations of ith and kth CPs independently, rki connotes the parcel expel among ith and kth CPs, w1 and w2 are the two factors whose characteristics are either 0 or 1, R addresses the scope of CPs which is set to 1 or Pki demonstrates the moving probability of CPs. The electric power (Ek) depends upon the components qk, Pki and R.

The wellbeing (qi), separation partitioned (rki) and Moving probability (Pki) are prepared using conditions3-5

$$q_i = \frac{\text{fit}(i) - \text{fit}(\text{worst})}{\text{fit}(\text{best}) - \text{fit}(\text{worst})}, i = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, N \tag{3}$$

where, fit(i) speaks to the wellness of the ith molecule while fit(best) and fit(worst) mean the best and most noticeably bad wellness estimations of the given dataset.

$$r_{ki} = \frac{\|X_i - X_k\|}{\|(X_i + C_k)/2 - X_{best}\| + \in} \tag{4}$$

where, Xi and Xk speak to the places of ith and kth CPs separately and Xbest portrays the best position and ∈ is a little positive steady to maintain a strategic distance from singularities.

$$p_{ki} = \begin{cases} 1 \text{ if } \dfrac{\text{fit}(i) - \text{fit}(\text{best})}{\text{fit}(k) - \text{fit}(i)} > rand\ V\ fit(k) > fit(i) \\ 0 \qquad\qquad\qquad \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \tag{5}$$

where, fit(i),fit(k) and fit(worst) present the wellbeing of the ith atom, kth CP and the most perceptibly terrible health estimations of a given dataset independently and rand is an unpredictable limit.

The ordinary electric current (Ii) and alluring influencePM_kiare handled using conditions6-7

$$I_i = fit_n(i) - fit_{n-1}(i) \tag{7}$$

where, fit_n (I) speaks to the wellness of ith molecule in the present emphasis and fit_(n-1) (I) signifies the wellness of the ith molecule in the past cycle. The estimations of Ii can be sure or negative.

$$PM_{ki} = \begin{cases} 1 & fit(k) > fit(i) \\ 0 & otherwise \end{cases} \tag{8}$$

where, fit(k) and fit(i) mean the health of the kth CP and ith particle which can be either 0 or 1.

Indicate compel following up on a CP is the mix of both the electric and appealing forces and it is settled using condition 9

$$F_{k\,total} = p_r * E_k + M_k \tag{9}$$

Stage 1: where,F_ktotal is the total power constrained by kth CP; p_r is a probability regard which chooses the possibility of electric power (Ek) (either shocking or attracting),E_kand M_kdenote the net measure of electric power and appealing force.

Stage 2: Determine the new position and speeds of CPs.

The development of CPs is resolved utilizing Newton mechanics laws. The new positions and speeds of CPs can be figured utilizing conditions 10 and 11

$$.X_{k\,new} = rand_1 * Z_a * \frac{F_{k\,total}}{m_k} * \Delta t^2 + rand_2 * Z_v * V_{k\,old} * \Delta t + X_{k\,old} \tag{10}$$

where, rand1 and rand2 are the two irregular factors in the middle of 0 and 1; Za and Zv go about as control parameters which are utilized to control the impact of aggregate power (F_(k add up to)) and past speeds; mk is the mass of kth CP which is equivalent to〖 q〗_k; Δt speaks to the time step which is set to 1;X_(k old) and V_(k old) speak to the past position and speed of kth CP. The speeds of CPs are refreshed utilizing the condition 11.

$$V_{knew} = \frac{X_{knew} - X_{kold}}{\Delta t} \tag{11}$$

Stage 1: Update charge memory (CM)

CPs with better target work esteems supplant the most exceedingly bad CPs from the CM and store the places of CPs in CM.

Stage 2: Termination condition

In the event that the most extreme cycle came to and condition is fulfilled at that point stop the calculation and acquires the ideal group focuses. Generally rehash the stage 2-4.

Developed code:

MAIN FUNCTION

```
clc;
clear all;
close all;
%% Problem
Capacity=5;
Items=10;
Price=[1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10];
%Weight=[1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1];
%% Initialization

N=5;    % No. of Particles

MaxIt=1; % Maximum Iterations

VarSize = [1 Items];

% Charge Particle Template
CP.Position=[];
CP.Velocity=[];
CP.Charge=[];
CP.Distance=[];
CP.Probability=[];
CP.Force=[];
```

```
% Best Charge Particle Template
BCP.Position=[];
BCP.Velocity=[];
BCP.Charge=[];
BCP.Distance=[];
BCP.Probability=[];
BCP.Force=[];
% Create array of charged particles
CPS = repmat(CP, N+1, 1);

% Initialize Charged Particles
for i=1:N+1

    % Generate Random Solution
    CPS(i).Position = randi([0 1], 1,Items);

    % Initialize Velocity
    CPS(i).Velocity = zeros(VarSize);
end

% Initializing fitbest , fitworst , BCP
fitbest=fit(CPS(i).Position);  % Assume first is best
fitworst=fit(CPS(i).Position); % Assume first is worst
for i=1:N
    x=fit(CPS(i).Position);
    if x > fitbest
        fitbest=x;
        BCP=CPS(i);
    elseif x < fitworst
        fitworst=x;
    end
end

%Initialize Force Matrix
Force=zeros(N,1);
%% Main Loop of CSS


for it=1:MaxIt

    for i=1:N
        % Calculating Charge On Particles
        CPS(i).Charge = (fit(CPS(i).Position)-fitworst)/(fitbest-fitworst);

        % Calculating Distance Between Particles
        CPS(i).Distance=
(HD(CPS(i).Position,CPS(i+1).Position))/(HD((CPS(i).Position)&(CPS(i+1).Posit
ion),BCP.Position)+rand(1,1));

        % Calculating Probability Of Moving
        if fit(CPS(i).Position) > fit(CPS(i+1).Position)
        CPS(i).Probability=1;
        else
        CPS(i).Probability=0;
        end
```

```
        % Calculating Resultant Force
        r=(0.1)*max(1-0);

        if CPS(i).Distance < r
                    c1=1;
                    c2=0;
        else
                    c1=0;
                    c2=1;
        end

       % tempforce=0;
       % for k=1:N
            % Some error
            %  Distance=
(HD(CPS(i).Position,CPS(k).Position)+rand(1,1))/(HD((CPS(i).Position)&(CPS(k)
.Position),BCP.Position)+rand(1,1));
            % disp(['Distance = ' num2str(Distance)]);
            %
force=((CPS(i).Charge)*c1)/(r*r*r)+(((CPS(i).Charge)*c2)/(Distance*Distance))
;
            % tempforce=tempforce+force;
            % disp(['Temp Force = ' num2str(tempforce)]);
       % end

    end
    end
```

FITNESS FUNCTION:

```
function sum = fit(X)
Price=[1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10];
x=0;
for j=1:length(X)
if X(j) == 1
    x=x+Price(j);
end
sum=x;
end
```

CHAPTER-4: Experimental Results

1. **Data used in this study:** The information regarding the data used in this study is given as. This data is widely reported in many research papers [2].

Table 1: The dimension and parameter of ten test problems

No. of Problems	Number of objects(N)	Parameters(W,w,v)
f1	10	W = 269; w = { 60, 32, 95, 23, 72, 62, 80, 65, 46}, v = {5, 50, 47, 55, 4, 10, 87, 61, 8, 85}
f2	20	W =878, w = {4, 43, 82, 84, 68, 92, 83,5 6, 14, 32, 18, 6, 25, 96, 70, 58, 44, 48} , v = {46, 44, 91, 72, 90, 40, 75, 35, 8, 54, 77, 75, 61, 17, 15, 29, 63}
f3	4	W =20,w = {9, 5, 6, 7}, v = {9, 15, 13, 11}
f4	4	W=11, w= {2, 4, 6, 7}, V= {6, 10, 12, 13}
f5	15	W = 375, w={56,358531, 80.874050, 47.987304, 89.596240, 74.660482, 85.894345, 51.353496,1.498459, 36.445204, 16.589862, 44.569231, 0.466933, 37.788018, 57.118442, 60.716575} v= {0.125126, 19.330424, 58.500931, 35.029145, 82.284005, 17.410810, 71.050142,30.399487, 9.140294, 14.731285, 98.852504, 11.908322, 0.891140, 53.166295, 60.176397}
f6	10	W= 60 , w= {20, 30, 25, 18, 11, 1, 5, 2, 17}, v= {10, 18, 15, 17, 20, 5, 3, 1}
f7	7	W= 50 , w = {31, 10, 20, 19, 4, 3,6}, v = {70, 20, 39, 37, 7, 5, 10}

f8	23	W= 10000,w = {983, 982, 981, 980, 979, 978, 488, 976, 972, 486, 486, 972, 972, 485, 485, 969,966, 483, 964, 963, 961, 958, 959} v = {981, 980, 979, 978, 977, 976, 487, 974, 970, 485, 485, 970, 970, 484, 484, 976, 974, 482,962, 961, 959, 958, 857}
f9	5	W=80 , w = {15, 20, 17, 8, 31}, v = {33, 24, 36, 37, 12}

2. **Performance Measure:** This section describes the performance measures which are adopted to evaluate the performance of the proposed work. The famous performance measures used in literature for solving knapsack problem are listed as:

- Best solution
- Mean solution
- Worst solution
- Standard deviation
- Average function evaluation
- Average time

CHAPTER-5: CONCLUSION

This report exhibited a meta-heuristic figuring i.e., charged system look for which will be associated with deal with knapsack problem profitably and suitably. It is excited by the Coulomb law known from electrostatics and the laws of development from Newtonian mechanics. CSS contains different pros which are called charged particles. Each CP is considered as a charged hover of traverse a, which has a uniform volume charge thickness and can constrain an electric power on various CPs according to Coulomb's law. This power is engaging and its degree for the CP arranged inside the circle is comparing to the parcel evacuate between the CPs and for the CP arranged outside the circle is on the other hand in respect to the square of the division isolate between the charged particles. The superposed powers and the laws for the development choose the new region of the CPs. In this stage, each CP advances toward the resultant forces and its past speed. From improvement viewpoint, this technique gives a not too bad altering between the examination and the mishandle perfect models of the count which can fundamentally upgrade the profitability of the computation.

The charged structure look figuring gives an updated respond in due order regarding the 0-1 knapsack problem.

References:

[1] Kaushik Kumar, Bhattacharjee, and Sarada Prasad Sarmah. "Shuffled frog leaping algorithm and its application to 0/1 knapsack problem." Applied Soft Computing 19 (2014): 252-263.

[2] Kulkarni, Anand J., and HinnaShabir. "Solving 0–1 knapsack problem using cohort intelligence algorithm." International Journal of Machine Learning and Cybernetics 7.3 (2016): 427-441.

[3] Feng, Yanhong, et al. "Solving 0–1 knapsack problem by a novel binary monarch butterfly optimization." Neural computing and applications 28.7 (2017): 1619-1634.

[4] Gao, Jiaquan, et al. "A quantum-inspired artificial immune system for the multiobjective 0–1 knapsack problem." Applied Mathematics and Computation 230 (2014): 120-137.

[5] Zhang, Biao, et al. "An effective hybrid harmony search-based algorithm for solving multidimensional knapsack problems." Applied Soft Computing 29 (2015): 288-297.

[6] Zhou, Yongquan, Xin Chen, and Guo Zhou. "An improved monkey algorithm for a 0-1 knapsack problem." Applied Soft Computing 38 (2016): 817-830.

[7] Zhou, Yongquan, et al. "A complex-valued encoding wind driven optimization for the 0-1 knapsack problem." Applied Intelligence 46.3 (2017): 684-702.

[8] Moosavian, Naser. "Soccer league competition algorithm for solving knapsack problems." Swarm and Evolutionary Computation 20 (2015): 14-22.

[9] Chen, Yuning, Jin-Kao Hao, and Fred Glover. "An evolutionary path relinking approach for the quadratic multiple knapsack problem." Knowledge-Based Systems 92 (2016): 23-34.

[10] International Conference on Life System Modeling and Simulation and International Conference on Intelligent Computing for Sustainable Energy and Environment. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2014.

[11] Abdelaziz, Foued Ben, SaoussenKrichen, and JouhainaChaouachi. "A hybrid heuristic for multiobjective knapsack problems." Meta-heuristics. Springer, Boston, MA, 1999. 205-212.

[12] Azad, MdAbul Kalam, Ana Maria AC Rocha, and Edite MGP Fernandes. "Improved binary artificial fish swarm algorithm for the 0–1 multidimensional knapsack problems." Swarm and Evolutionary Computation 14 (2014): 66-75.

[13] Raidl, Günther R. "An improved genetic algorithm for the multiconstrained 0-1 knapsack problem." Evolutionary Computation Proceedings, 1998. IEEE World Congress on Computational Intelligence., The 1998 IEEE International Conference on. IEEE, 1998.

[14] Chih, Mingchang, et al. "Particle swarm optimization with time-varying acceleration coefficients for the multidimensional knapsack problem." Applied Mathematical Modelling 38.4 (2014): 1338-1350.

[15] Chih, Mingchang. "Self-adaptive check and repair operator-based particle swarm optimization for the multidimensional knapsack problem." Applied Soft Computing 26 (2015): 378-389.

[16] Azad, MdAbul Kalam, Ana Maria AC Rocha, and Edite MGP Fernandes. "A simplified binary artificial fish swarm algorithm for 0–1 quadratic knapsack problems." Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics 259 (2014): 897-904.

[17] Kong, Xiangyong, et al. "A simplified binary harmony search algorithm for large scale 0–1 knapsack problems." Expert Systems with Applications 42.12 (2015): 5337-5355.

[18] Kong, Xiangyong, et al. "Solving large-scale multidimensional knapsack problems with a new binary harmony search algorithm." Computers & Operations Research 63 (2015): 7-22.

[19] García-Martínez, Carlos, Francisco J. Rodriguez, and Manuel Lozano. "Tabu-enhanced iterated greedy algorithm: a case study in the quadratic multiple knapsack problem." European Journal of Operational Research 232.3 (2014): 454-463.

[20] Lv, Jianhui, et al. "Solving 0-1 knapsack problem by greedy degree and expectation efficiency." Applied Soft Computing 41 (2016): 94-103.

[21] Patvardhan, Chellapilla, SulabhBansal, and AnandSrivastav. "Solving the 0–1 quadratic knapsack problem with a competitive quantum inspired evolutionary algorithm." Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics 285 (2015): 86-99.

[22] He, Yichao, et al. "A novel binary artificial bee colony algorithm for the set-union knapsack problem." Future Generation Computer Systems (2017).

[23] Baykasoğlu, Adil, and FehmiBurcinOzsoydan. "An improved firefly algorithm for solving dynamic multidimensional knapsack problems." Expert Systems with Applications 41.8 (2014): 3712-3725.

[24] Truong, Tung Khac, Kenli Li, and YumingXu. "Chemical reaction optimization with greedy strategy for the 0–1 knapsack problem." Applied Soft Computing 13.4 (2013): 1774-1780.

[25] Zhang, Xuedong, et al. "Binary artificial algae algorithm for multidimensional knapsack problems." Applied Soft Computing 43 (2016): 583-595.