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#### Abstract

In 1875 water was brought from Dhalli catchment area to Shimla via gravity main which served a population of around 16000 persons. The city grew over the years increasing its boundary as well as population with subsequent augmentation of water supply to match the needs of the growing population. With the commissioning of augmentation schemes of water supply i.e. Nauti Khad \& Giri, the total quantity available is 54.54 MLD which was sufficient to meet with the requirement of Shimla upto 2016. The detail of available 54.54 MLD water has been incorporated in this report.

With the increasing growth in the population both existing and floating, the water requirement of Shimla City and its surrounding areas is expected to be 105.66 MLD for the year 2048, which creates a huge gap between present supply and expected demand. To fulfil the water demand of the citizens, the City Development Plan has proposed a rate of 135 lpcd water supply to the city. The total requirement of water for the ultimate year of 2048 has been calculated using Population forecasting and water demand was found to be 105.63 MLD. To tide over the crisis, and bridge the gap between the present and future water demand, the project has been conceived to lift water from Koldam reservoir built over river Sutlej to add additional 51.09 MLD water to Shimla town which will cater the demand of Shimla and proposed satellite towns up to the year 2048.

This report further incorporates the Design of various components of Lift Water Supply Scheme which includes Design of Economic Size of Rising Mains/Pumping Mains from Sunni to Shimla, Pump House Power Requirement and Cost Analysis of the Rising Mains/Pumping Mains, Pipe Material and Pumps excluding the installation and labour costs using the CPHEEO manual and the data acquired from the I\&PH Department, Himachal $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { Pradesh and } \quad \text { Municipal } & \text { Corporation, }\end{array}$


## CHAPTER 1

## INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Shimla Town

The Municipal town of Shimla, the head quarter of the district and summer capital of India during British regime, is situated on a range of entirely mountainous Middle Himalayas, south of the river Sutlej. Geographically, Shimla lies at $30^{\circ} 6^{\prime}$ North latitude and $77^{\circ} 11^{\prime}$ East longitude, and its mean elevation is 2397.59 m above mean sea level. The existing town resembles an irregular crescent with a 9.2 km extension from one end to the other, covering a total area of $19.55 \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{km}$.

There is no major source of water body in the vicinity of the main city and the closest river, the Sutlej, is about 21 km away. Other rivers that flow through Shimla district, are the Giri and Pabbar which are far from the city.


Figure 1.1 Map of Shimla Town
(Source: Kumar, Pushplata, 2015)

Table: 1 Shimla at a Glance

| Area (Municipal Corporation) | 19.99 Sq.km |
| :---: | :---: |
| Area (Urban Area) | $35.34 \mathrm{Sq} . \mathrm{km}$ |
| Population(Municipal Corporation) | $1,42,555$ (2011 census) |
| Population(Urban Area) | $1,60,000(2011$ census) |
| Total Floating Population/Year | 75,000 |
| Population Density | 4,197 Persons/Sq.km |
| Existing Water Demand | 40 MLD |
| a) Water Available | 33 MLD |
| b) Deficit | 7 MLD |
| Elevation (mean sea level) | $2,276 \mathrm{~m}$ |

### 1.2 Koldam

The Koldam Dam Hydropower Project also known as Koldam is an embankment dam. Built over the river Sutlej, it is 18 km away from Bilaspur off the Chandigarh-Manali Highway (NH21) near Barmana, Himachal Pradesh. The principle use of the dam is to generate hydroelectric power which is bolstered up by an 800 MW power station. The dam was constructed by National Thermal Power Corporation (NTPC). Koldam hydropower project is located between $31^{\circ} 21^{\prime} 54^{\prime \prime}$ to $31^{\circ} 05^{\prime} 13^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$ latitude and $76^{\circ} 51^{\prime} 31^{\prime \prime}$ to $77^{\circ} 23^{\prime} 51^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ longitude on Sutlej River, in Himachal Pradesh. It covers some part in Mandi and Bilaspur district of the state. The driving distance of Koldam to Shimla city is approximately 100km. It lies to the North West of Shimla and is situated in bilaspur district.


Figure 1.2 View of Koldam

### 1.3 Objectives of the Study

- To study the current potable water supply infrastructure in Shimla city.
- To identify the water demand for existing and future population growth.
- To study the techno-economic aspects of augmenting water from Koldam to Shimla.
- Designing the components of the Lift Water Supply Scheme (LWSS) from Sunni to Shimla.

The aims and objectives that are initially envisaged for formulation of any water supply plan are related to population of the area, socio-economic status of the people inhabiting the area, investigation of sources, their capacity and dependability on long term basis, future development plan of the region, existing and proposed level of water supply, its quality and history of epidemicity of water borne diseases.

- Examination of decadal population of the project area and estimation of future population to the end of the planning period.
- Total daily requirement of water for the present and future estimated population.
- Exploration of capable and dependable sources within or outside the project area.
- Planning and designing of collection and distribution system considering the topography and location of settlements of the project area.


## CHAPTER 2

## LITERATURE SURVEY
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## CHAPTER 3

## METHODOLOGY OF THE STUDY

- Collection of necessary and relevant information on water supply from Municipal Corporation, Shimla and Irrigation and Public Health Engineering (IPH), Shimla.
- Analysis of the acquired data.
- Utilization of the data to predict future population of the town as well as water demand.
- Calculation of the water deficit or surplus before and after addition of availability of water from Koldam.
- Future prediction and calculation of water deficiency to be fulfilled by Koldam.
- Study of feasibility of Techno-economic aspects of augmenting water from Koldam to Shimla.
- Using the CPHEEO guidelines and Detailed Project Report (DPR) of the project given by the authorities to design the various components of the Lift Water Supply Scheme (LWSS).
- Economic Design of Rising Mains/Pumping Mains
- Pump House Power Requirement
- Cost Analysis excluding the installation and labour costs


## CHAPTER 4

## ANALYSIS OF DATA

Shimla town extends over 7 hill spurs which are listed below in the table along with their elevation:

Table: 2 Hill spurs and their mean elevation

| S. No. | HILL SPUR | ELEVATION (m). |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Jakhu Hill | 2454 |
| 2 | Elysium Hill | 2257 |
| 3 | Museum Hill | 2201 |
| 4 | Prospect Hill | 2177 |
| 5 | Observatory Hill | 2150 |
| 6 | Summer Hill | 2104 |
| 7 | Potters Hill | 2073 |

### 4.1 Existing Water Supply System of Shimla

### 4.1.1 Introduction

Water supply system of Shimla was established in 1875 to serve the population of 20,000 . The water supply system was designed on pumping from nearby stream with the help of engineering structures. Today, water supply is one of the major hindrances in the growth and development of Shimla. This chapter provides overview of the water supply system, its delivery performance, issues and strategy for improvement in water supply. Water supply scheme for Shimla town was constructed in the year 1875. Thereafter, its augmentation was done in 1889, 1914, 1923, 1974, 1982, 1992 and 2008. On an average 54.54 MLD Water is Supplied to Shimla town.

### 4.1.2 Policy, Legal and Institutional Framework

Shimla Municipal Corporation (SMC) and Department of Irrigation and Public Health (I\&PH) are responsible for water supply to Shimla city. I\&PH provides treated bulk water to SMC for local supply and distribution.

The role of I\&PH is development of water related infrastructures for drinking water supply schemes, sewerage systems, irrigation systems through source development, lifting water, boring of tube wells \& providing distribution systems and flood protection works to protect life and property in the state.
Presently, I\&PH is involved in sourcing the water, treatment of water, and transmission of water through raising and gravity mains to storage reservoirs. The I\&PH is also responsible for operation and maintenance of these systems.

I\&PH supplies bulk water to SMC, which in turns distributes the water to domestic and commercial connections. SMC is responsible for releasing water connections, reading of water m ., billing and receipt posting besides collection of water charges, attending public grievances.

### 4.1.3 History of Water Resource Development of Shimla

- First water supply scheme: 4.54 MLD, was implemented to utilize the water from the storage reservoir of 10.92 million liters (located at 12.85 km . from Shimla), which stores water from spring sources from Dhalli Catchment Area, during 1875 to support a population of 16,000 .
- 1st Augmentation (Year 1914): With increase in the population of the city and the tourists, the first augmentation of Shimla Water Supply Scheme by provision of pump sets near Cherot Nallah (year 1889) and Jagroti Nallah (year 1914) to tap 4.80 MLD of water at these sources was implemented.
- 2nd Augmentation (Year 1914): The second augmentation of Shimla Water Supply Scheme (Year 1914) was executed by installing 2 pump sets at Chair Nallah to tap 2.50 MLD of water.
- 3rd Augmentation (Year 1924): The third augmentation of Shimla Water Supply Scheme was commissioned during the year 1924 to tap 7.72 MLD of water from Nauti Khad with further upgradation of pumps at various stages.
- 4th Augmentation (Year 1981-82): An additional 16.34 MLD of water was incorporated after the fourth augmentation of Shimla Water Supply Scheme by the installation of pump sets at Gumma and Darabla. Today, the system is designed to lift 24.06 MLD of water at source.
- 5th Augmentation (Year 1992): A two stage lifting at Ashwani Khad and at Kawalag was designed to pump 10.80 MLD of water on April, 1992.


### 4.1.4 Present Sources of Water

The water, which is received from different sources for Shimla Town for distribution, is detailed as under:

1. Dhalli Catchment

An average of 0.45 MLD water is received from this source under gravity condition at Dhalli filter.

## 2. Cherot \& Jagroti

From this source an average of 3.50 MLD of water is received which is collected at dhalli filter.

## 3. Chair Nallah

From the source, an average of 1.70 MLD water is received.

## 4. Source at Gumma at Nauti Khad

This is the main source of water supply, which provides approximately 16.75 MLD of water

## 5. Source at Gumma at Nauti Khad near Bridge

From this source, about 4.54 MLD of water is pumped
6. Ashwani Khad (SHUTDOWN AFTER THE JAUNDICE EPIDEMIC IN 2015-16)

From this source, about 7.60 MLD of water is pumped.
7. River Giri

From this source, about 20.00 MLD of water is pumped.

Table: 3 Present Sources of Water

| S.No. | Name of source | Quantities drawn in MLD (Average) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Dhalli catchment | 0.45 |
| 2 | Churat Nallah | 3.50 |
| 3 | Chair Nallah | 1.70 |
| 4 | Nauti Khad | 16.75 |
| 5 | Nauti Khad near Bridge | 4.54 |
| 6 | Ashwani Khad | 7.60 |
| 7 | River Giri | 20.00 |
|  | Total | $\mathbf{5 4 . 5 4}$ |



Figure: 4.1 Existing Water Supply System in Shimla City

## CHAPTER 5

## POPULATION FORECASTING AND WATER DEMAND

### 5.1 Population Recorded

Table: 4 Past Decadal Population

| Year | Population |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1911 | 19405 |
| 1921 | 27213 |
| 1931 | 18144 |
| 1941 | 18348 |
| 1951 | 46150 |
| 1961 | 42597 |
| 1971 | 55326 |
| 1981 | 70604 |
| 1991 | 82504 |
| 2001 | 156127 |
| 2011 | 171640 |

### 5.2 Population of Shimla in 2017

As indicated by 2011 statistics, the city of Shimla extends over a range of $35.34 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ with a population of around 169,578 comprising of 93,152 males and 76,426 females.

In order to check out the population of Shimla in 2017, we need examine the population of the past 5 years. They are as per the following:

1. $2012-173,434$
2. 2013-178,678
3. $2014-183,779$
4. $2015-188,539$
5. $2016-194,539$

Examining the population of Shimla from the year 2012-16, it has been observed that there has been an increase of 21,105 in the past 5 years. Therefore, the population increases by 4221 every year. Hence, the population of Shimla in 2017 is forecasted to be $194,539+4221=198,760$. So, the population of Shimla in the year 2017 as per estimated data $=198,760$.

Shimla Population 2017 - 198,760 (Estimated)

### 5.3 Population Forecasting

The population of city has been projected up to the ultimate period-2048. The population projection by Decreasing rate of growth method and Logistic method has not been done here as this city does not show decreasing population trend and the city is not very large.

The different methods of population forecasting are:

1. Arithmetic Increase Method

This method is generally applicable to large and old cities. In this method, the average increase of population per decade is calculated from the past records and added to the present population to find out population in the next decade. This method gives a low value and is suitable for well settled and established communities.

Population after $\mathrm{n}^{\text {th }}$ decade will be $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{P}+\mathrm{n} . \mathrm{C}$
where,
$\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{n}}=$ Population after ' n ' decades
$\mathrm{P}=$ Present Population

Table: 5 Population Forecasted using Arithmetic Increase Method

| Year | Value of ' n ' | Forecasted Population |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2011 | 0 | 171640 |
| 2012 | 0.1 | 174220 |
| 2013 | 0.2 | 176801.72 |
| 2014 | 0.3 | 179382.58 |
| 2015 | 0.4 | 181963.44 |
| 2016 | 0.5 | 184544.3 |
| 2017 | 0.6 | 187125.16 |
| 2018 | 0.7 | 189706.02 |
| 2019 | 0.8 | 192286.88 |
| 2020 | 0.9 | 194867.74 |
| 2021 | 1.0 | 197448.6 |
| 2022 | 1.1 | 200029.46 |
| 2023 | 1.2 | 202610.32 |
| 2024 | 1.3 | 205191.18 |
| 2025 | 1.4 | 207772.04 |
| 2026 | 1.5 | 210352.9 |
| 2027 | 1.6 | 212933.76 |
| 2028 | 1.7 | 215514.62 |
| 2039 | 1.8 | 218095.48 |
| 2030 | 1.9 | 220676.34 |
| 2031 | 2.0 | 223257.2 |
| 2032 | 2.1 | 225838.06 |
| 2033 | 2.2 | 228418.92 |
| 2034 | 2.3 | 230999.78 |
| 2035 | 2.4 | 233508.64 |
| 2036 | 2.5 | 236161.5 |
| 2037 | 2.6 | 238742.36 |


| 2038 | 2.7 | 241323.22 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2039 | 2.8 | 243904.08 |
| 2040 | 2.9 | 246484.94 |
| 2041 | 3.0 | 249065.8 |
| 2042 | 3.1 | 251641.6 |
| 2043 | 3.2 | 254227.2 |
| 2044 | 3.3 | 256803.38 |
| 2045 | 3.4 | 259389.24 |
| 2046 | 3.5 | 261970.1 |
| 2047 | 3.6 | 264550.96 |
| 2048 | 3.7 | 267131.82 |

## 2. Incremental Increase Method

This is method is a modification of arithmetic increase method and it is suitable for an average size town under normal condition where the growth rate is found to be in increasing order. While adopting this method, the increase in increment is considered for calculating future population. The incremental increase is determined for each decade from the past population and the average value is added to the present population along with the average rate of increase.

Population after $\mathrm{n}^{\text {th }}$ decade is $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{P}+\mathrm{n} . \mathrm{X}+\{\mathrm{n}(\mathrm{n}+1) / 2\} . \mathrm{Y}$
where,
$\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{n}}=$ Population after $\mathrm{n}^{\text {th }}$ decade
$\mathrm{X}=$ Average increase
$\mathrm{Y}=$ Incremental increase

Table: 6 Population Forecasted using Incremental Increase Method

| Year | Value of 'n' | Forecasted Population |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2011 | 0 | 171640 |
| 2012 | 0.1 | 174259.14 |
| 2013 | 0.2 | 176852.4 |
| 2014 | 0.3 | 179518.3 |


| 2015 | 0.4 | 182158.32 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2016 | 0.5 | 184058.3 |
| 2017 | 0.6 | 187459.24 |
| 2018 | 0.7 | 190201.24 |
| 2019 | 0.8 | 192788 |
| 2020 | 0.9 | 195462.82 |
| 2021 | 1.0 | 198144.6 |
| 2022 | 1.1 | 200833.48 |
| 2023 | 1.2 | 203529.04 |
| 2024 | 1.3 | 206231.7 |
| 2025 | 1.4 | 208941.32 |
| 2026 | 1.5 | 211657.9 |
| 2027 | 1.6 | 214381.44 |
| 2028 | 1.7 | 217111.94 |
| 2039 | 1.8 | 219849.4 |
| 2030 | 1.9 | 222593.82 |
| 2031 | 2.0 | 225348.2 |
| 2032 | 2.1 | 228103.54 |
| 2033 | 2.2 | 230868.84 |
| 2034 | 2.3 | 233641.1 |
| 2035 | 2.4 | 236420.32 |
| 2036 | 2.5 | 239206.5 |
| 2037 | 2.6 | 241999.64 |
| 2038 | 2.7 | 244799.74 |
| 2039 | 2.8 | 247606.8 |
| 2040 | 2.9 | 250420.82 |
| 2041 | 3.0 | 253241.8 |
| 2042 | 3.1 | 256069.74 |
| 2043 | 3.2 | 258904.64 |
| 2044 | 3.3 | 261746.5 |
| 2045 | 3.4 | 264595.32 |
| 2046 | 3.5 | 267451.1 |
| 2047 | 3.6 | 270318.4 |
| 2048 | 3.7 | 273183.54 |

## 3. Geometric Increase Method

In this method the percentage increase in population from decade to decade is assumed to remain constant. Geometric mean increase is used to find out the future increment in population. Since this method gives higher values and hence should be applied for a new industrial town at the beginning of development for only few decades. The population at the end of $\mathrm{n}^{\text {th }}$ decade ' $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{n}}$ ' can be estimated as:
$\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{P}\left(1+\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{G}} / 100\right)^{\mathrm{n}}$
where,
$\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{G}}=$ Geometric mean (\%)
$\mathrm{P}=$ Present population
$\mathrm{n}=$ No. of decades

Table: 7 Population Forecasted using Geometric Increase Method

| Year | Value of ' $\mathbf{n}$ ' | Forecasted Population |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2011 | 0 | 171640 |
| 2012 | 0.1 | 175874.78 |
| 2013 | 0.2 | 180214.04 |
| 2014 | 0.3 | 184006.37 |
| 2015 | 0.4 | 189216.40 |
| 2016 | 0.5 | 193884.83 |
| 2017 | 0.6 | 198668.45 |
| 2018 | 0.7 | 203570.09 |
| 2019 | 0.8 | 208592.67 |
| 2020 | 0.9 | 213739.16 |
| 2021 | 1.0 | 219012.64 |
| 2022 | 1.1 | 224416.22 |
| 2023 | 1.2 | 229953.18 |
| 2024 | 1.3 | 235626.63 |
| 2025 | 1.4 | 241440.12 |
| 2026 | 1.5 | 247397.05 |
| 2027 | 1.6 | 253500.94 |
| 2028 | 1.7 | 259755.44 |


| 2039 | 1.8 | 266164.24 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2030 | 1.9 | 272731.17 |
| 2031 | 2.0 | 279460.12 |
| 2032 | 2.1 | 286355.09 |
| 2033 | 2.3 | 293420.18 |
| 2034 | 2.4 | 300659.58 |
| 2035 | 2.5 | 308077.60 |
| 2036 | 2.6 | 315678.63 |
| 2037 | 2.7 | 323467.20 |
| 2038 | 2.8 | 331447.94 |
| 2039 | 2.9 | 339625.58 |
| 2040 | 3.0 | 348004.98 |
| 2041 | 3.1 | 356591.12 |
| 2042 | 3.2 | 365389.10 |
| 2043 | 3.3 | 374404.15 |
| 2044 | 3.4 | 383641.63 |
| 2045 | 3.5 | 393107.01 |
| 2046 | 3.6 | 402805.93 |
| 2047 | 3.7 | 412744.15 |
| 2048 |  | 422927.57 |

Average of the Three Methods
The population projections for city obtained from three methods mentioned above, show that the population projections from Arithmetical Increase method is on lower side, while from Geometrical Increase method is on higher side. The population of city has been projected by taking average value of Arithmetic Increase, Incremental Increase and Geometric Increase Method.

Table: 8 Population Forecasted using Average of the Three Methods

| Year | Average of the Three Methods |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2011 | 171640 |
| 2012 | 174784.64 |
| 2013 | 177956.05 |
| 2014 | 180969.08 |


| 2015 | 184446.05 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2016 | 187495.8 |
| 2017 | 191084.28 |
| 2018 | 194492.45 |
| 2019 | 197888.66 |
| 2020 | 201356 |
| 2021 | 204868 |
| 2022 | 208426 |
| 2023 | 212030 |
| 2024 | 215682 |
| 2025 | 219384.33 |
| 2026 | 223135.35 |
| 2027 | 226938 |
| 2028 | 230793.33 |
| 2039 | 234676.088 |
| 2030 | 238666.66 |
| 2031 | 242688.33 |
| 2032 | 246765.33 |
| 2033 | 250902.06 |
| 2034 | 255099.66 |
| 2035 | 259335 |
| 2036 | 263681.83 |
| 2037 | 268009.4 |
| 2038 | 272523 |
| 2039 | 277045 |
| 2040 | 281636 |
| 2041 | 286299.26 |
| 2042 | 291024 |
| 2043 | 295845.06 |
| 2044 | 300730 |
| 2045 | 305697 |
| 2046 | 310742 |
| 2047 | 315870.71 |
| 2048 | 321080.97 |

Table: 9 Population, Floating Population, Water Demand, Supply, Deficit

| YEAR | POPULATION | FLOATING <br> POPULATION | DEMAND w/o <br> FLOATING <br> POPULATION <br> (MLD) | DEMAND with <br> FLOATING <br> POPULATION <br> (MLD) | SUPPLY <br> (MLD) | DEFICIT/SURPLUS <br> (MLD) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1981 | 70,604 | 30000 | 15.1 | 21.6 | 22.40 | +0.80 |
| 1991 | 82,504 | 40000 | 17.7 | 26.3 | 22.40 | +3.9 |
| 2001 | 156,127 | 56000 | 33.5 | 45.6 | 30.00 | +15.6 |
| 2011 | 171,640 | 76000 | 36.9 | 53.2 | 54.54 | +1.34 |
| 2021 | 204,868 | 100000 | 44.0 | 65.5 | 54.54 | -10.96 |
| 2031 | 242,688 | 131579 | 52.1 | 80.4 | 54.54 | -25.86 |
| 2041 | 286,299 | 173130 | 61.5 | 98.7 | 54.54 | -44.16 |
| 2048 | 321,080 | 227803 | 69.03 | 108 | 54.54 | -54.46 |

NOTE: Surplus has been indicated by a (+) sign and Deficit by a (-) sign.
The water available i.e. 1.70 MLD from Chair Nallah is being supplied to Kufri under SPA Shimla. Also as mentioned above the water supply from Ashwani Khad has been suspended due to the Jaundice epidemic in the year 2015-16 as the water quality is still an issue. That means additional 7.60 MLD of water supply to Shimla has been cut down. Further, since the distribution lines are as old as before independence as a result water leakage is also a factor which amounts to approximately 10-15 MLD of water. Therefore the Total Water Available Currently in Shimla amounts to 33 MLD approximately.

### 5.4 Water Demand and Deficit

### 5.4.1 Present Water Demand

Due to the rise in the level of tourists and the increasing population of the city the water requirement per day during peak tourist season is approximately 39.85 MLD which has been calculated for a total population of 2,84,635 @ 140 lpcd. Since the current supply is around 33 MLD thus, there is deficit in water supply of about 7 MLD.

### 5.4.2 Future Water Demand

With the increasing growth in the population both existing and floating, the water requirement of Shimla City and its surrounding areas is expected to be 105.63 MLD for the year 2048, which creates a huge gap between present supply and expected demand. To bridge this gap between the future water demand of 105.63 MLD and present water supply of 33 MLD, 72.63 MLD of water has to be sourced.


Figure: 5.1 (a) Projected Population (b) Demand-Supply
(Source: Sharma K.S., Kansal M.L., Tyagi A. 2015)

## CHAPTER 6

## PROPOSED DESIGN FOR AUGMENTATION

- Project Description: To source 51.09 MLD of water from river Sutlej [KOLDAM] by I\&PH. The project shall include construction of intake weir, sedimentation tank, sump well, pump house, treatment plant, filtration plant, pumping stations, rising and gravity mains etc.
- Project Benefits: Increases water availability.
- Estimated Project Cost: Rs. 710 Crores
- Financing Mechanism: World Bank + Center Govt.
- Preparatory Activity for Implementation: Project development including preparation of detailed feasibility and detailed project report.
- Additional Studies: Detailed Project Report for augmentation of water resource from river Sutlej [Koldam Reservoir].


Figure: 6.1 SH 205+154 connecting Koldam and Shimla (Source: Google Maps)

### 6.1 Water crisis in Shimla

There is no major source of water body in the vicinity of the main city. The climate of Shimla is predominantly cold during winters and moderately warm during summers. The monthly precipitation ranges between 24 mm in July to 415 mm in November. The average total annual precipitation is 152 cms . Snowfall generally takes place in the months of December, January and February. The people of the city of Shimla are facing acute shortage of water mainly due to steep sloping areas and lack of natural water reservoirs even though the average annual precipitation is significant ( 152 cms .).


Figure: 6.2 Highlighted Areas of Shimla Town and Sutlej River (Source: Google Images)

### 6.2 Topographical Study and Digital Elevation Models

Topographic Surveys are used to identify and map the contours of the ground and existing features on the surface of the earth or slightly above or below the earth's surface (i.e. trees, buildings, streets, retaining walls etc.) Topographic Surveys require "benchmarks" to which ground contours are related, information regarding surface and underground utilities, determination of required setbacks etc.

A DEM can be represented as a raster (a grid of squares, also known as a heightmap when representing elevation) or as a vector-based triangular irregular network (TIN). The TIN DEM dataset is also referred to as a primary (measured) DEM, whereas the Raster DEM is referred to as a secondary (computed) DEM.


Figure: 6.3 Digital Elevation Model (DEM) of the Area using Google Earth


Figure: 6.4 Proposed Paths for Laying of Pipeline from Koldam Reservoir to Shimla


Figure: 6.5 Digital Elevation Model (DEM) of the Layout using Google Earth


Figure: 6.6 Proposed Path for Lift Water Supply Scheme (LWSS) from Sunni to Shimla

### 6.3 Parameters used in Designing

- Supply Hours

The ultimate objective is to provide all consumers within the project towns with a continuous water supply ( 24 hours), and all components of the water supply system shall be designed with this goal in mind. However, given the prevailing conditions in the project towns, as well as the situation in other similar towns in State of Shimla, it is not realistic to provide continuous water supply immediately after commissioning of the water supply scheme. Initially water supply duration may be intermittent, it is hoped that once the consumers in the project towns become accustomed to a regular, reliable water supply and wastage is reduced, the supply hours can be extended until continuous supply is achieved. Supply though short duration will lead to increased flow through distribution piping, which however will be nearly equal to ultimate storage flow for which the system is to be designed. Designs will be checked for shorter duration supply and adequate provisions will be made.

- Pumping Hours

At present Public Health Engineering Department (PHED) considers 16 hrs supply in their schemes though availability of power per day is even lesser in actual practice. For a major project as being proposed, system designs adopting lesser duration of power availability will result substantial increase in the capacity/ dimensions of all units to achieve desired output, which will adversely affect the economic feasibility of the project. Considering various techno-economic aspects it has been proposed, an assured availability of power should be considered at 16 hrs every day either through a dedicated feeder line or an in house electricity generator, the proposed system will be designed accordingly.

- Design Formula

A number of formulae are in use for hydraulic analysis of water networks. Most widely used among those is Hazen - William's formula, which involves flow through pipeline, diameter of pipeline velocity of flow and the loss of head due to friction.

Hazen William's formula is given by the expression:

$$
\mathrm{V}=4.567 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{x} \mathrm{~cd}^{0.63} \times \mathrm{S}^{0.54}
$$

where,
$\mathrm{V}=$ Velocity of flow
C = Hazen Williams co-efficient of friction $d=$ diameter of circular conduit
S = Slope of hydraulic Gradient

Hazen Williams Co-efficient ' C ' is Recommended as standards as below:DI (Lined) pipe - New 140 Design 140

## CHAPTER 7

## PIPE MATERIALS AND APPURTENANCES

Pipe materials considered for the transmission network system are Cast Iron (CI), Ductile Iron (DI), Mild Steel (MS), High Density Polyethylene (HDPE) and Pre-stressed Concrete (PSC). The pipes have been compared on various parameters to evaluate their usefulness as a water carrying main in transmission and distribution system. The main parameters which have been discussed are available sizes and lengths, weight, flexibility, available working pressure ratings, tensile strength, impact strength, ease of tapping and repair, general availability in India, availability of plant and skilled man power for manufacturing, laying and maintenance, availability of corrosion control techniques, ease of locating underground pipes, special bedding requirements, laying speed, performance experience and basic cost economics.

### 7.1 Advantages and Disadvantages of Pipe Materials

The Advantages and Disadvantages of some of the most common pipe materials used are shown in the table below:

Table: 10 Advantages and Disadvantages of important pipe materials

| S No. | Pipe material | Advantages | Disadvantages |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Mild steel | 1. Superior mechanical strength and toughness. <br> 2. Is quiet resistant to fatigue. <br> 3. Can be customized accordingly to suit the local conditions and pressure ranges. <br> 4. Impermeable to gas and organic contaminants. | 1. Heavy <br> 2. Welded joints require skilled installations and special high cost equipment. <br> 3. Susceptible to corrosion if protection system is not provided. <br> 4. Difficult to protect welded joints from inside. |


|  |  | 5. Easy to detect leakage and underground pipe location. <br> 6. Easy repair by welding. | 5. Potential rise in pH while carrying soft water. <br> 6. Dependent on stable support from soil. <br> 7. Installation fittings/repair is difficult with non-standard sizes. <br> 8. Protection given at site on corroded pipes is not much effective. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2. | Ductile iron | 1. High mechanical strength and toughness. <br> 2. High fatigue resistance <br> 3. Simple to install joints. <br> 4. Pipe joint deflection from $4^{0}$ to $5^{0}$. <br> 5. Provided with corrosion protection internally. <br> 6. Impermeable to gas and organic contaminants. <br> 7. Easy to locate underground pipe and leakage. <br> 8. Relatively unskilled labour can lay pipe. <br> 9. Complete range of fitting available. | 1. Relatively heavy. <br> 2. Only standard bending $11.25^{0}, 22.5^{0}, 45^{0}, 90^{0}$ bends are available. |
| 3. | Cast iron pipes | 1. Moderate mechanical strength and toughness. <br> 2. High resistance against compressive force. <br> 3. High performance, simple | 1. Relatively heavy. <br> 2. Brittle, prone to development of hairline crack during handling and transportation. |


|  |  | to install. <br> 4. Pipe joint deflection from $2^{0}$ to $5^{0 .}$ <br> 5. Not susceptible to corrosion under normal soil conditions. <br> 6. Easy to locate underground and pipe leakage. <br> 7. Relatively unskilled labour can lay pipe. <br> 8. Complete range of fittings available. | 3. Not good for high pressured application. <br> 4. Susceptible to failure under surge conditions. <br> 5. Tuberculation and incrustation take place after some years |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4. | HDPE | 1. Very good impact strength ,flexibility and is corrosion resistant. <br> 2. Tough and resilient. <br> 3. Can bend upto some extent thereby minimizing the use of special equipment like bends, elbows etc. Resulting in a reduction in the installation costs. <br> 4. Their lightness makes them easy to carry and also makes their installation easy. <br> 5. Has a very high C-value. | 1. Requires skilled manpower. <br> 2. Costliest material as compared to other pipes available. |
| 5. | Pre-Stressed concrete pipes. | 1. The C -value of these pipes is more the Cast iron. pipes. <br> 2. Cheaper compared to cast iron pipes. | 1. Requires higher level of quality control during its production. <br> 2. Laying of these pipes with rubber rings also |


|  |  | requires a skilled <br> competence and supervision. <br> $3 . \quad$ Only available with <br> reputed contractors. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

So concluding, it is observed that DI Pipes are technically highest ranked among all metallic pipes, and available in range of diameters of 80 mm and above and up to 1000 mm .

### 7.2 Appurtenances

### 7.2.1 Line Valves

Main line valves are used to stop and regulate the flow of water in an emergency. There are many types of valves for use in pipeline, the choice of which depends on the duty. The spacing varies principally with the terrain traversed by the line. In urban areas with connections in the distribution system, main aim is to partition the line in order to maintain reasonable service. In larger lines isolating valves are usually installed at intervals of 1 to 5 Km . The main considerations in locations of the valves are approachability and closeness to special points such as branches, stream crossings etc. Valve spacing is a function of economics and operating problems. Parts of the pipeline may have to be insulated to mend the leaks. The volume of water that would have to be discharged for disposal would be a role of spacing of isolation valves.

These valves are usually placed at major summits of pressure conduits. Summits identify the sections of the line that can be drained by gravity, and pressures are least at these points permitting cheaper valves and easier operations. Gravity conduits are provided with valves at points strategic for the operation of supply points, at the two ends of sag pipes and wherever it is convenient to drain the given section.

Normally valves are sized slightly smaller than the pipe diameter and installed with a reducer on either side. In choosing the size; the cost of the valve should be weighed against the cost of head loss through it, although in certain circumstances it may be desirable to maintain the full pipe bore (to erosion or blockage).

### 7.2.1.1 Sluice Valves

Sluice valves or gate valves are the normal type of valves used for isolating or scouring. They seal well under high pressures and when fully open, offer little resistance to fluid flow. There are two types of spindles for raising the gate; a rising spindle which is rotated in a screwed attachment in the gate. The rising spindle is easy to lubricate.

The gate may be parallel sided or wedge shaped. The wedge gate seals best, but may be damaged by grit. For low pressure, resilient or gun metal scaling faces may be used. For high pressure, stainless steel seals are preferred.

Sluice valves are not intended for continuous throttling, as erosion of the seats and body cavitation may occur. If small flows are required the bypass, valve is more suitable for this duty.

Sluice valves shall be located on at least three sides of every cross junction and at every kilometer on long mains. The size of the sluice valve shall be the same as the size of the main up to 300 mm diameter and at least two-thirds the size of the main for larger diameters.

### 7.2.1.2 Butterfly Valves

These are used to regulate and stop the flow especially in large size conduits.Occasionally these cost less than the sluice valves for larger sizes and utilize a lesser area. Butterfly valves without sliding parts have the advantages of ease of use, compacted size, smaller chamber or valve house and better closing and retarding characteristics.

These involve a comparatively more head loss than sluice valves and also are not recommended for continuous throttling. The sealing is also not as effective as for sluice valves especially at higher pressures. They offer a high resistance to flow even in fully open state, because the thickness of the discs resists the flow even when it is changed to fully open position. Butterfly valves and sluice valves are not suitable for use in partly open position because they can erode the gates and seatings rapidly. Both the valves
need high torques to open them against high pressure, they usually have geared hand wheels or power driven actuators.

Butterfly Valves with loose sealing ring are occasionally ineffective, especially at higher pressures. Butterfly valves with fixed liner can get over this deficiency, further the butterfly valves with fixed liner require no routinely maintenance for replacement of sealing ring as in the case of those valves with loose sealing ring. The fixed liner design butterfly valves are now available in India suitable for working pressures up to $16 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{sq}$ cm . Presently there is no IS code for the fixed liner Butterfly valves.

### 7.2.1.3 Globe Valves

Globe valves have a circular seal connected axially to a vertically and hand wheel. The seating is a ring perpendicular to the pipe axis. The flow changes direction through $90^{\circ}$ twice thus resulting in high head losses. These valves are normally used in small bore pipe work and as taps, although a variation is used as a control valve.

### 7.2.1.4 Needle and Cone Valves

Needle valves are more expensive than sluice and butterfly valves are well suited for throttling flow. They have a gradual throttling action as they close, whereas sluice valves and butterfly valves offer flow resistance until practically shut and may suffer cavitation damage. Needle valves may be used with counter balance weights, springs, or actuators to maintain constant flow. They are resistant until practically shut and may suffer cavitation damage. They are resistant to wear even at high flow velocities.

The needle and cone valves are no commonly used in water supply but are occasionally used as water hammer release valves when coupled to an electric or hydraulic actuator.

### 7.2.2 Scour or Blow Off Valves

In pressure ducts, small gate switches known as blow-off or scour valves are used at low points above line valves located in the line on a gradient such that each section of the
separation lies between the valves and can be emptied completely. They get discharged into natural drainage channel or into a sump from which water can be pumped to waste.

The exact position of scour valves is often influenced by opportunities to dispose off the water. Where main crosses the flow or drainage structure there will usually be a low point in the line, but if the main passes under the stream or drain it cannot be completely discharged into a channel. In that situation, it is better to locate a scour connection at a lower point that will be discharged by gravity and will alow pumping of the part below the drain pipeline.
There should be no direct connection to sewers or polluted water course except through a specially designed trap chamber or pit for safety to bow off valves are placed in series, The outlet into the channel should be above the high water lines. If the outlet must be below high water check valves must be placed to prevent backflow.

The dimensions depend on local factors particularly when the provided section of line is designed to be emptied upon the resulting velocity of flow. Calculations depend on the discharge of orifice under a subsequent head equal to difference in elevation of the water surface in duct minus and the blow off minus the friction head. Frequency of the operation depends upon the quality of water carried especially on silt loads.

### 7.2.3 Air Valves

When a pipeline is filled, air could be trapped at peaks along the profile thereby incurring head losses and reducing the capacity of the pipeline. It is also undesirable to have pockets in the pipe as they may cause water hammer pressure fluctuations during operations of the pipeline. Other problems due to air include corrosion ,reduced pump efficiency, malfunctioning of valves or vibrations. Air valves are used to release the air automatically when a pipeline is being filled and also to allow air to enter the pipeline when it is emptied. Moreover air valves are also used to release any en trained air, which may have been gathered at high points in the pipeline during routine operations.

Without air valves vacuum may occur at peas and the pipe could collapse or it may not be possible to drain the pipeline completely.

Air valves require care in selection and even more care in sitting and it is good practice to plan the pipeline alignment to avoid air troubles altogether. A special study of the possible air
problems is necessary at the design stage itself and provision should be made for suitable corrective measures rather than positioning arbitrary air valves at pipeline peaks.

Location of air valves can be at both sides of gates at summits, the downstream side of other gates and alterations in grade to steeper gradients in sections of line else guarded by air valves.

The valve usually takes the form of a rigid buoyant vulcanite or rubber-covered ball seated on a rubber or metal ring. The sealing element i.e. the ball is slated against an opening at the top of the valve when the pipe is full and seals the opening. When the pressure in the pipe goes down below the external pressure, the ball drops, hence allowing air to be drawn into the pipe. The valves are mainly available in two forms, either single-ball or double ball. The single ball type can have either a large orifice or a small orifice, the first is only suitable for draining and filling of pipes and the other one for releasing small amounts of en trained air. Double air valves are available which can be classified as dual purpose with a large orifice and small orifice in a single unit, with the same connection to the main. For large aqueduct pipelines, a triple orifice air valve can be used with two large orifices and a small. For high pressures, stainless steel floats can be used rather than the vulcanite-covered balls.
Special designs of air valves are also available which operate satisfactorily with high velocity air discharges. If normal air valves are utilized under these conditions, there is a danger of the ball beinf carried on to its swat by the air stream before the accumulated air has been fully released.

Air valves can be provided with an integral stop valve or alternatively and preferably, a standard sluice valve can be bolted to the inlet flange, which must be adequate size for its duty. Regular maintenance checks on at least an annual basis should be carried out to make sure that the balls are free to move and the seats do not leak. If an air valve is isolated for any reason in very cold weather, the body should be drained to prevent frost damage; a plug cock can be fitted at the base of the body for this purpose. Trapped chamber drainage is essential to prevent any possibility of stagnant or polluted water or air entering the pipeline. Automatic air valves in urban streets present a serious contamination risk, since they must have air vents that could, in some circumstances, admit polluted surface water, constructing an air valve chamber as water tight as possible and fitting a ball valve interceptor as an outlet to a storm water sewer is a practice to obviate this possibility. Using annually operated air
valves in the streets, it being the routine duty of a turn cock in the area to air the main, to minimize the risk of serious contamination, is yet another practice.

The following ratios of air valves to conduit diameter provide common but rough estimates of needed sizes:

For release of air only $\quad 1: 12$
For entry as well release of air 1:8

### 7.2.4 Air Release Valves

Air release valves are designed specifically to vent, automatically and when necessary, air accumulations from lines in which water is flowing. Such accumulations of air tend to collect at high points in the pipeline. Air which accumulates at such peaks, reduces the useful cross sectional area of the pipe, and therefore induces a friction head factor that lowers the pumping capacity of the entire line. The use of air release valves eliminates the possibility of this air binding and permits the flow of water without damage to the pipeline.

Small orifice air valves are designated by their inlet connection size, usually 12 to 50 mm diameter. This has nothing to do wit the air release orifice size which may be from 1 to 50 mm diameter. The larger the pressure in the pipeline, the smaller need be the orifice size. The volume of air to be released will be a function of the air en trained which is on the average $2 \%$ of the volume of water(at atmospheric pressure).

The small orifice release valves are sealed by a floating ball, or needle which is attached to a float. When a certain amount of air has accumulated in the connection on top of the pipe, the ball will drop or the needle valve will open and release the air. Small orifice release valves are often combined with large orifice air vent valves on a common connection on top of the pipe. The arrangement is called a double air valve. An isolating sluice valve is normally fitted between the pipe and the air valves.
Double air valves should be installed at peak in the pipeline, both with respect to the horizontal and the maximum hydraulic gradient. They should also be installed $t$ the ends and intermediate points along a length of pipeline which is parallel to the hydraulic grade line. It should be borne in mind that air may be dragged along in the direction of flow in the pipeline and may even accumulate in sections falling slowly in relation to the hydraulic gradient.

Double air valves should be fitted every $1 / 2$ to 1 KM along descending sections, especially at points where the pipe dip steeply.
Air release valves should also be installed all along ascending lengths of pipeline where air is likely to be released from solution due to the lowering of the pressure, again especially at points of decrease in gradient. Other places where air valves are required are on the discharge side of pumps and at high points on large mains and upstream of orifice plates and reducing tapers.

Air-relief valves are provided at the first summit of the line to remove air that is mechanically entrained as water is drawn in the entrance of the pipeline.

### 7.2.5 Air Inlet Valves

In the design and operation of large steel pipelines, where gravity flow occurs, considerations must be given to the possibility of collapse in case the internal pressure is reduced below that of atmosphere. Should a break occur in the line at the lower end of a slope, vacuum will in all probability be formed at some point upstream from the break due to the sudden rush of water from the line. To prevent the pipe from collapsing, air inlet(vacuum breaking) valves are used at critical points..

These valves normally held shut by water pressure, automatically open when this pressure is reduced to slightly below atmosphere, permitting large quantities of air to enter the pipe, thus effectively preventing the formation of any vacuum, they also facilitate the initial filling of the line by the expulsion of air wherever the valves are installed.
Air inlet valves should be installed at peaks in the pipeline, both relative to the horizontal and relative to the hydraulic gradient. Various possible hydraulic gradients, including reverse gradients during scouring should be considered. They are normally fitted in combination with an air release valve.
Often air release valves are used in conjunction with them, the purpose of them being to vent air accumulations that may occur at the peaks after the line has been put into operation.

### 7.2.6 Kinetic Air Valves

In case of ordinary air valve, single orifice(small or large) type, the air or liquid from the pumping mains is ushered in the ball chamber of the air valve from one part of the ball. The
disadvantages with these type of valves are that (a) when the ball rises, it does not go down even when air gathers in ball chamber and (b) due to the incoming air, it shakes the ball causing it to adhere to the upper opening that does not fall down until the pressure in the main does not decrease.. The kinetic air valves, counters these deficiencies since the air or water comes from the lower part of the ball and the air rushes around the ball and exerts pressure and slackens the contact with the upper opening and allows the ball to descend..

### 7.2.7 Pressure Relief Valves

These, also called as overflow towers, are provided in one or more summits of the conveyance main to keep the pressure in the line below given value by causing water to flow to waste when the pressure builds up beyond the design value. Usually they are spring or weight loaded and is not sufficiently responsive to rapid fluctuations of pressure to be used as surge protection devices.

### 7.2.8 Check Valves

Check valves, also called non-return valves or reflux valves, instinctively averts the turnaround of flow in the pipe. These valves are particularly convenient in rising mains when placed close to pumping stations for the prevention of back-flow, when pumps shut down. The closure of the valves should be such that it will not set up excessive shock conditions within the system.

### 7.2.8.1 Dual Plate Check Valves

Dual plate check valves employ two spring loaded plates hinged on a central hinge pin. When the flow decreases, the plate close by torsion spring action without requiring reverse flow. As compared to conventional swing check valve which operates on mass movement, the dual plate check valve are provided with accurately designed and tested torsion springs to suit the carrying flow conditions. The Dual plate check valves are of non-slamming type and arrest the tendency of reversal of flow. Presently there is no IS code for the Dual Plate Check Valves.

## CHAPTER 9

## CONCLUSION

Shimla, having a current population of around $1,95,000$ has an established water supply of 54.54MLD, out of which about 7.60 MLD of water supply from the Ashwani Khad is no longer contributing to the current supply and about 7 MLD of water is lost through leakages etc. Hence leaving Shimla with a supply of around 33 MLD. The current water demand being 40 MLD, Shimla is facing a deficiency of about 7 MLD. To bridge up this gap between the demand and water supply, this project has been undertaken to draw water from Koldam reservoir at Sunni.

In this project the design of the Lift Water Supply Scheme (LWSS) has been carried out which includes the design of the rising mains. The cost analysis of laying the rising mains(covering a length 25 km ) and the pump system has been worked upon which amounts to about $\mathbf{1 5 , 8 4 , 1 3 7}$ thousand Rupees. The most economical diameter of the rising mains has also been calculated which varies from $\mathbf{3 0 0} \mathbf{m m} \mathbf{- 5 0 0} \mathbf{m m}$. Four pumping houses are to be installed each at Intake (Sunni), Dwada, Dummi and Naug. The power requirements of these pump houses has also been computed and mentioned in this report.

This project will be providing a measure to counter the current deficiency and also cater the future demands of water supply in Shimla upto the year 2048 by providing a total of 51.09 MLD of water.
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ANNEXURE

## Annexure A

## Design of Economic Size of Rising Mains/Pumping Mains



Friction Head Loss (First Stage)

| Dia. in mm | L | Q | CR | Q/CR | (Q/CR)1.81 | 994.62 | D | D4.81 | h(First Stage) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 250 mm | 1000 | 0.148 | 1.000 | 0.148 | 0.031 | 994.620 | 0.250 | 0.001 | 31.160 |
| 300 mm | 1000 | 0.148 | 1.000 | 0.148 | 0.031 | 994.620 | 0.300 | 0.003 | 10.380 |
| 350 mm | 1000 | 0.148 | 1.000 | 0.148 | 0.031 | 994.620 | 0.350 | 0.006 | 5.190 |
| 400 mm | 1000 | 0.148 | 1.000 | 0.148 | 0.031 | 994.620 | 0.400 | 0.012 | 2.591 |
| 450 mm | 1000 | 0.148 | 1.000 | 0.148 | 0.031 | 994.620 | 0.450 | 0.021 | 1.471 |
| 500 mm | 1000 | 0.148 | 1.000 | 0.148 | 0.031 | 994.620 | 0.500 | 0.036 | 0.886 |
| 600 mm | 1000 | 0.148 | 1.000 | 0.148 | 0.031 | 994.620 | 0.600 | 0.086 | 0.369 |
| 700 mm | 1000 | 0.148 | 1.000 | 0.148 | 0.031 | 994.620 | 0.700 | 0.180 | 0.176 |
| 800 mm | 1000 | 0.148 | 1.000 | 0.148 | 0.031 | 994.620 | 0.800 | 0.342 | 0.092 |
| 900 mm | 1000 | 0.148 | 1.000 | 0.148 | 0.031 | 994.620 | 0.900 | 0.602 | 0.052 |
| 1000 mm | 1000 | 0.148 | 1.000 | 0.148 | 0.031 | 994.620 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 0.032 |
| 1100 mm | 1000 | 0.148 | 1.000 | 0.148 | 0.031 | 994.620 | 1.100 | 1.582 | 0.020 |


| Velocity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dia. in mm | 143.534 | CR | $\mathrm{r}=\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{P}=\mathrm{D} / 4$ | r0.6575 | S | S0.5525 | V |
| 250 | 143.534 | 1.000 | 0.063 | 0.162 | 0.031 | 0.147 | 3.412 |
| 300 | 143.534 | 1.000 | 0.075 | 0.182 | 0.010 | 0.080 | 2.095 |
| 350 | 143.534 | 1.000 | 0.088 | 0.202 | 0.005 | 0.055 | 1.581 |
| 400 | 143.534 | 1.000 | 0.100 | 0.220 | 0.003 | 0.037 | 1.176 |
| 450 | 143.534 | 1.000 | 0.113 | 0.238 | 0.001 | 0.027 | 0.929 |
| 500 | 143.534 | 1.000 | 0.125 | 0.255 | 0.001 | 0.021 | 0.753 |
| 600 | 143.534 | 1.000 | 0.150 | 0.287 | 0.000 | 0.013 | 0.523 |
| 700 | 143.534 | 1.000 | 0.175 | 0.318 | 0.000 | 0.008 | 0.384 |
| 800 | 143.534 | 1.000 | 0.200 | 0.347 | 0.000 | 0.006 | 0.294 |
| 900 | 143.534 | 1.000 | 0.225 | 0.375 | 0.000 | 0.004 | 0.232 |
| 1000 | 143.534 | 1.000 | 0.250 | 0.402 | 0.000 | 0.003 | 0.188 |
| 1100 | 143.534 | 1.000 | 0.275 | 0.428 | 0.000 | 0.003 | 0.156 |


| Friction Head Loss (Second Stage) |  |  | CR | Q/CR | (Q/CR)1.81 | 994.62 | D | D4.81 | h( Second Stage) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dia. in mm | L | Q |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 250 mm | 1,000.000 | 0.222 | 1.000 | 0.222 | 0.065 | 994.620 | 0.250 | 0.001 | 65.350 |
| 300 mm | 1,000.000 | 0.222 | 1.000 | 0.222 | 0.065 | 994.620 | 0.300 | 0.003 | 21.549 |
| 350 mm | 1,000.000 | 0.222 | 1.000 | 0.222 | 0.065 | 994.620 | 0.350 | 0.006 | 10.266 |
| 400 mm | 1,000.000 | 0.222 | 1.000 | 0.222 | 0.065 | 994.620 | 0.400 | 0.012 | 5.401 |
| 450 mm | 1,000.000 | 0.222 | 1.000 | 0.222 | 0.065 | 994.620 | 0.450 | 0.021 | 3.065 |
| 500 mm | 1,000.000 | 0.222 | 1.000 | 0.222 | 0.065 | 994.620 | 0.500 | 0.036 | 1.846 |
| 600 mm | 1,000.000 | 0.222 | 1.000 | 0.222 | 0.065 | 994.620 | 0.600 | 0.086 | 0.768 |
| 700 mm | 1,000.000 | 0.222 | 1.000 | 0.222 | 0.065 | 994.620 | 0.700 | 0.180 | 0.366 |
| 800 mm | 1,000.000 | 0.222 | 1.000 | 0.222 | 0.065 | 994.620 | 0.800 | 0.342 | 0.193 |
| 900 mm | 1,000.000 | 0.222 | 1.000 | 0.222 | 0.065 | 994.620 | 0.900 | 0.602 | 0.109 |
| 1000 mm | 1,000.000 | 0.222 | 1.000 | 0.222 | 0.065 | 994.620 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 0.066 |
| 1100 mm | 1,000.000 | 0.222 | 1.000 | 0.222 | 0.065 | 994.620 | 1.100 | 1.582 | 0.042 |
| Velocity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dia. in mm | 143.534 | CR | $\mathrm{r}=\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{P}=\mathrm{D} / 4$ | r 0.6575 | S | S0.5525 | V |  |  |
| 250 | 143.534 | 1.000 | 0.063 | 0.162 | 0.065 | 0.222 | 5.137 |  |  |
| 300 | 143.534 | 1.000 | 0.075 | 0.182 | 0.022 | 0.120 | 3.137 |  |  |
| 350 | 143.534 | 1.000 | 0.088 | 0.202 | 0.010 | 0.080 | 2.305 |  |  |
| 400 | 143.534 | 1.000 | 0.100 | 0.220 | 0.005 | 0.056 | 1.765 |  |  |
| 450 | 143.534 | 1.000 | 0.113 | 0.238 | 0.003 | 0.041 | 1.394 |  |  |
| 500 | 143.534 | 1.000 | 0.125 | 0.255 | 0.002 | 0.031 | 1.129 |  |  |
| 600 | 143.534 | 1.000 | 0.150 | 0.287 | 0.001 | 0.019 | 0.784 |  |  |
| 700 | 143.534 | 1.000 | 0.175 | 0.318 | 0.000 | 0.013 | 0.576 |  |  |
| 800 | 143.534 | 1.000 | 0.200 | 0.347 | 0.000 | 0.009 | 0.441 |  |  |
| 900 | 143.534 | 1.000 | 0.225 | 0.375 | 0.000 | 0.006 | 0.349 |  |  |
| 1000 | 143.534 | 1.000 | 0.250 | 0.402 | 0.000 | 0.005 | 0.282 |  |  |
| 1100 | 143.534 | 1.000 | 0.275 | 0.428 | 0.890 | 0.004 | 0.233 |  |  |


| TABLE: 1.1 | VELOCIT | Y AND H | HEADLOSSES | FOR DIFFERENT PIPESIZES |  |  | other <br> losses at $10 \%$ | total <br> losses <br> (H1) <br> including <br> static head | Friction head loss in total pipe length | other losses at $10 \%$ | total <br> losses <br> (H2) <br> including <br> static head |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S No | Pipe Size in mm | friction head loss per 1000 m |  | Velocity in $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{sec}$ | Velocity in $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{sec}$ | Friction head loss |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1st stage flow | 2nd stage flow | 1st stage flow | 2nd stage flow | 1st stage flow |  | 700 | 7161.00 |  | 700 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2nd stage flo |  |
| 1 | 250 | 31.16 | 65.35 | 3.41 | 5.14 | 223.14 | 22.31 | 945.45 | 467.97 | 46.80 | 1214.77 |
| 2 | 300 | 10.38 | 21.55 | 2.10 | 3.14 | 74.33 | 7.43 | 781.76 | 154.32 | 15.43 | 869.75 |
| 3 | 350 | 5.19 | 10.27 | 1.58 | 2.30 | 37.17 | 3.72 | 740.88 | 73.52 | 7.35 | 780.87 |
| 4 | 400 | 2.59 | 5.40 | 1.18 | 1.76 | 18.56 | 1.86 | 720.41 | 38.68 | 3.87 | 742.54 |
| 5 | 450 | 1.47 | 3.07 | 0.93 | 1.39 | 10.53 | 1.05 | 711.58 | 21.95 | 2.19 | 724.14 |
| 6 | 500 | 0.89 | 1.85 | 0.75 | 1.13 | 6.34 | 0.63 | 706.98 | 13.22 | 1.32 | 714.54 |
| 7 | 600 | $0.37$ | $0.77$ | $0.52$ | $0.78$ | $2.64$ | 0.26 | 702.90 | 5.50 | 0.55 | 706.05 |
| 8 | 700 | 0.18 | 0.37 | 0.38 | 0.58 | 1.26 | 0.13 | 701.38 | 2.62 | 0.26 | 702.88 |
| 9 | 800 | 0.09 | 0.19 | 0.29 | 0.44 | 0.66 | 0.07 | 700.73 | 1.38 | 0.14 | 701.52 |
| 10 | 900 | 0.05 | 0.11 | 0.23 | 0.35 | 0.38 | 0.04 | 700.41 | 0.78 | 0.08 | 700.86 |
| 11 | 1000 | 0.03 | 0.07 | 0.19 | 0.28 | 0.23 | 0.02 | 700.25 | 0.47 | 0.05 | 700.52 |
| 12 | 1100 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.16 | 0.23 | 0.14 | 0.01 | 700.16 | 0.30 | 0.03 | 700.33 |

TABLE: 1.2 KILOWATTS \& COST OF PUMP SETS REQUIRED FOR DIFFERENT PIPESIZES AND PIPE COST

|  |  |  | 1st stage flow in MLD |  | 12.77 | 2nd stage flow in MLD |  | 19.16 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S No | Pipe Dia in mm | class of Pipe | H1 total head in meters | KW reqd plus 50\% standby | pump cost at <br> Rs per Kw | H2 total head in meters | Kw required plus 50\% standby | Pump cost at Rs per KW | $\begin{aligned} & \text { cost of } \\ & \text { pipe per } \\ & \text { meter } \end{aligned}$ | total cost of pipe in thousand Rs |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5000 |  | 7161 |
| 1 | 250 | K9 | 945.45 | 4110 | 20550 | 1214.77 | 7923 | 39616 | 2581 | 18483 |
| 2 | 300 | K9 | 781.76 | 3398 | 16992 | 869.75 | 5673 | 28364 | 3269 | 23409 |
| 3 | 350 | K9 | 740.88 | 3221 | 16103 | 780.87 | 5093 | 25465 | 4075 | 29181 |
| 4 | 400 | K9 | 720.41 | 3132 | 15658 | 742.54 | 4843 | 24216 | 4914 | 29181 |
| 5 | 450 | K9 | 711.58 | 3093 | 15467 | 724.14 | 4723 | 23616 | 5880 | 42107 |
| 6 | 500 | K9 | 706.98 | 3073 | 15366 | 714.54 | 4660 | 23302 | 6840 | 48981 |
| 7 | 600 | K9 | 702.90 | 3056 | 15278 | 706.05 | 4605 | 23026 | 7015 | 50234 |
| 8 | 700 | K9 | 701.38 | 3049 | 15245 | 702.88 | 4584 | 22922 | 9622 | 68903 |
| 9 | 800 | K9 | 700.73 | 3046 | 15231 | 701.52 | 4576 | 22878 | 12550 | 89871 |
| 10 | 900 | K9 | 700.41 | 3045 | 15224 | 700.86 | 4571 | 22856 | 15314 | 109664 |
| 11 | 1000 | K9 | 700.25 | 3044 | 15220 | 700.52 | 4569 | 22845 | 18354 | 131433 |
| 12 | 1100 | K9 | 700.16 | 3044 | 15218 | 700.33 | 4568 | 22839 | 21600 | 154678 |

TABLE: 1.3 COMPARATIVESTATEMENT OF OVERALL COST OF PUMPING MAIN FOR DIFFERENT PIPESIZES

|  | 1st stage flow |  | 12.77 | mld | 2nd stage flow |  | 19.16 | mld |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S No | Cost of pump set | Annual <br> Energy <br> Charges | capitalized energy cost | Pump cost+capitalized energy cost | Cost of pump set | Annual <br> Energy <br> Charges | capitalized energy cost | Pump cost+capitaliz ed energy cost | Present cost of pump and capitalized cost of 2nd stage | Pipe Dia | Grand total cost first and second stage |
|  | Thous and Rs | Thous and Rs | Thous and Rs | Thousand Rs | Thousand Rs | Thousand Rs | Thousand Rs | Thousand Rs | Thousand Rs | mm | Thousand Rs |
| 1 | 20,550 | 80,062 | 6,08,951 | 6,29,501 | 39,616 | 1,15,742 | 8,80,331 | 9,19,947 | 2,20,241 | 250 | 8,68,224 |
| 2 | 16,992 | 66,201 | 5,03,523 | 5,20,515 | 28,364 | 82,868 | 6,30,298 | 6,58,661 | 1,57,688 | 300 | 7,01,612 |
| 3 | 16,103 | 62,739 | 4,77,191 | 4,93,295 | 25,465 | 74,400 | 5,65,889 | 5,91,355 | 1,41,574 | 350 | 6,64,050 |
| 4 | 15,658 | 61,006 | 4,64,008 | 4,79,666 | 24,216 | 70,749 | 5,38,115 | 5,62,331 | 1,34,626 | 400 | 6,43,473 |
| 5 | 15,467 | 60,258 | 4,58,321 | 4,73,788 | 23,616 | 68,996 | 5,24,780 | 5,48,396 | 1,31,289 | 450 | 6,47,184 |
| 6 | 15,366 | 59,868 | 4,55,355 | 4,70,721 | 23,302 | 68,081 | 5,17,824 | 5,41,127 | 1,29,549 | 500 | 6,49,252 |
| 7 | 15,278 | 59,523 | 4,52,730 | 4,68,008 | 23,026 | 67,272 | 5,11,669 | 5,34,694 | 1,28,009 | 600 | 6,46,251 |
| 8 | 15,245 | 59,394 | 4,51,751 | 4,66,996 | 22,922 | 66,970 | 5,09,373 | 5,32,295 | 1,27,435 | 700 | 6,63,334 |
| 9 | 15,231 | 59,338 | 4,51,329 | 4,66,559 | 22,878 | 66,840 | 5,08,383 | 5,31,260 | 1,27,187 | 800 | 6,83,617 |
| 10 | 15,224 | 59,312 | 4,51,126 | 4,66,350 | 22,856 | 66,777 | 5,07,907 | 5,30,763 | 1,27,068 | 900 | 7,03,081 |
| 11 | 15,220 | 59,298 | 4,51,020 | 4,66,240 | 22,845 | 66,745 | 5,07,659 | 5,30,504 | 1,27,006 | 1,000 | 7,24,679 |
| 12 | 15,218 | 59,290 | 4,50,961 | 4,66,179 | 22,839 | 66,726 | 5,07,521 | 5,30,360 | 1,26,972 | 1,100 | 7,47,828 |
|  |  |  | Minimum Capitalized cost Rs |  | 6,43,473 | thousands |  |  |  |  |  |



## Friction Head Loss (First Stage)

| Dia. in mm | L | Q | CR | Q/CR | (Q/CR)1.81 | 994.62 | D | D4.81 | h ( First Stage) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 250mm | 1000 | 0.052 | 1.000 | 0.052 | 0.005 | 994.620 | 0.250 | 0.001 | 5.027 |
| 300 mm | 1000 | 0.052 | 1.000 | 0.052 | 0.005 | 994.620 | 0.300 | 0.003 | 1.675 |
| 350 mm | 1000 | 0.052 | 1.000 | 0.052 | 0.005 | 994.620 | 0.350 | 0.006 | 0.837 |
| 400 mm | 1000 | 0.052 | 1.000 | 0.052 | 0.005 | 994.620 | 0.400 | 0.012 | 0.410 |
| 450 mm | 1000 | 0.052 | 1.000 | 0.052 | 0.005 | 994.620 | 0.450 | 0.021 | 0.220 |
| 500 mm | 1000 | 0.052 | 1.000 | 0.052 | 0.005 | 994.620 | 0.500 | 0.036 | 0.133 |
| 600 mm | 1000 | 0.052 | 1.000 | 0.052 | 0.005 | 994.620 | 0.600 | 0.086 | 0.055 |
| 700 mm | 1000 | 0.052 | 1.000 | 0.052 | 0.005 | 994.620 | 0.700 | 0.180 | 0.026 |
| 800 mm | 1000 | 0.052 | 1.000 | 0.052 | 0.005 | 994.620 | 0.800 | 0.342 | 0.014 |
| 900 mm | 1000 | 0.052 | 1.000 | 0.052 | 0.005 | 994.620 | 0.900 | 0.602 | 0.008 |
| 1000 mm | 1000 | 0.052 | 1.000 | 0.052 | 0.005 | 994.620 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 0.005 |
| 1100 mm | 1000 | 0.052 | 1.000 | 0.052 | 0.005 | 994.620 | 1.100 | 1.582 | 0.003 |

Velocity

| Dia. in mm | 143.534 | CR | $\mathrm{r}=\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{P}=\mathrm{D} / 4$ | r 0.6575 | S | S 0.5525 | V |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 250 | 143.534 | 1.000 | 0.063 | 0.162 | 0.005 | 0.054 | 1.245 |
| 300 | 143.534 | 1.000 | 0.075 | 0.182 | 0.002 | 0.029 | 0.765 |
| 350 | 143.534 | 1.000 | 0.088 | 0.202 | 0.001 | 0.020 | 0.577 |
| 400 | 143.534 | 1.000 | 0.100 | 0.220 | 0.000 | 0.013 | 0.425 |
| 450 | 143.534 | 1.000 | 0.113 | 0.238 | 0.000 | 0.010 | 0.325 |
| 500 | 143.534 | 1.000 | 0.125 | 0.255 | 0.000 | 0.007 | 0.263 |
| 600 | 143.534 | 1.000 | 0.150 | 0.287 | 0.000 | 0.004 | 0.183 |
| 700 | 143.534 | 1.000 | 0.175 | 0.318 | 0.000 | 0.003 | 0.134 |
| 800 | 143.534 | 1.000 | 0.200 | 0.347 | 0.000 | 0.002 | 0.103 |
| 900 | 143.534 | 1.000 | 0.225 | 0.375 | 0.000 | 0.002 | 0.081 |
| 1000 | 143.534 | 1.000 | 0.250 | 0.402 | 0.000 | 0.001 | 0.066 |
| 1100 | 143.534 | 1.000 | 0.275 | 0.428 | 0.000 | 0.001 | 0.054 |


| Friction Head Loss (Second Stage) |  |  | CR | Q/CR | (Q/CR) 1.81 | 994.62 | D | D4.81 | h(Second Stage) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dia. in mm | L | Q |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 250 mm | 1,000.000 | 0.078 | 1.000 | 0.078 | 0.010 | 994.620 | 0.250 | 0.001 | 10.054 |
| 300 mm | 1,000.000 | 0.078 | 1.000 | 0.078 | 0.010 | 994.620 | 0.300 | 0.003 | 3.351 |
| 350 mm | 1,000.000 | 0.078 | 1.000 | 0.078 | 0.010 | 994.620 | 0.350 | 0.006 | 1.675 |
| 400 mm | 1,000.000 | 0.078 | 1.000 | 0.078 | 0.010 | 994.620 | 0.400 | 0.012 | 0.807 |
| 450 mm | 1,000.000 | 0.078 | 1.000 | 0.078 | 0.010 | 994.620 | 0.450 | 0.021 | 0.458 |
| 500 mm | 1,000.000 | 0.078 | 1.000 | 0.078 | 0.010 | 994.620 | 0.500 | 0.036 | 0.276 |
| 600 mm | 1,000.000 | 0.078 | 1.000 | 0.078 | 0.010 | 994.620 | 0.600 | 0.086 | 0.115 |
| 700 mm | 1,000.000 | 0.078 | 1.000 | 0.078 | 0.010 | 994.620 | 0.700 | 0.180 | 0.055 |
| 800 mm | 1,000.000 | 0.078 | 1.000 | 0.078 | 0.010 | 994.620 | 0.800 | 0.342 | 0.029 |
| 900 mm | 1,000.000 | 0.078 | 1.000 | 0.078 | 0.010 | 994.620 | 0.900 | 0.602 | 0.016 |
| 1000 mm | 1,000.000 | 0.078 | 1.000 | 0.078 | 0.010 | 994.620 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 0.010 |
| 1100 mm | 1,000.000 | 0.078 | 1.000 | 0.078 | 0.010 | 994.620 | 1.100 | 1.582 | 0.006 |
| Velocity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dia. in mm | 143.534 | CR | $\mathrm{r}=\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{P}=\mathrm{D} / 4$ | r0.6575 | S | S0.5525 | V |  |  |
| 250 | 143.534 | 1.000 | 0.063 | 0.162 | 0.010 | 0.079 | 1.836 |  |  |
| 300 | 143.534 | 1.000 | 0.075 | 0.182 | 0.003 | 0.043 | 1.122 |  |  |
| 350 | 143.534 | 1.000 | 0.088 | 0.202 | 0.002 | 0.029 | 0.846 |  |  |
| 400 | 143.534 | 1.000 | 0.100 | 0.220 | 0.001 | 0.020 | 0.618 |  |  |
| 450 | 143.534 | 1.000 | 0.113 | 0.238 | 0.000 | 0.014 | 0.488 |  |  |
| 500 | 143.534 | 1.000 | 0.125 | 0.255 | 0.000 | 0.011 | 0.395 |  |  |
| 600 | 143.534 | 1.000 | 0.150 | 0.287 | 0.000 | 0.007 | 0.274 |  |  |
| 700 | 143.534 | 1.000 | 0.175 | 0.318 | 0.000 | 0.004 | 0.202 |  |  |
| 800 | 143.534 | 1.000 | 0.200 | 0.347 | 0.000 | 0.003 | 0.154 |  |  |
| 900 | 143.534 | 1.000 | 0.225 | 0.375 | 0.000 | 0.002 | 0.122 |  |  |
| 1000 | 143.534 | 1.000 | 0.250 | 0.402 | 0.000 | 0.002 | 0.099 |  |  |
| 1100 | 143.534 | 1.000 | 0.275 | 0.428 | 0.000 | 0.001 | 0.082 |  |  |


| TABLE: 2.1 <br>  <br>  <br> S No | VELOCIT | TY AND H | HEADLOSSES | FOR DIFFERENT PIPESIZES |  |  | other <br> losses at $10 \%$ | total losses (H1) including static head | Friction head loss in total pipe length | other <br> losses at $10 \%$ | total <br> losses <br> (H2) <br> including <br> static head |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pipe Size in mm | friction head loss per 1000 m |  | Velocity in $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{sec}$ | Velocity in $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{sec}$ | Friction head loss |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1st stage flow | 2nd stage flow | 1st stage flow | 2nd stage flow | 1st stage flow |  | 660 | 1914.00 |  | 660 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | nd stage flo |  |
| 1 | 250 | 5.03 | 10.05 | 1.25 | 1.84 | 9.62 | 0.96 | 670.58 | 19.24 | 1.92 | 681.17 |
| 2 | 300 | 1.68 | 3.35 | 0.76 | 1.12 | 3.21 | 0.32 | 663.53 | 6.41 | 0.64 | 667.06 |
| 3 | 350 | 0.84 | 1.68 | 0.58 | 0.85 | 1.60 | 0.16 | 661.76 | 3.21 | 0.32 | 663.53 |
| 4 | 400 | 0.41 | 0.81 | 0.42 | 0.62 | 0.78 | 0.08 | 660.86 | 1.55 | 0.15 | 661.70 |
| 5 | 450 | 0.22 | 0.46 | 0.33 | 0.49 | 0.42 | 0.04 | 660.46 | 0.88 | 0.09 | 660.96 |
| 6 | 500 | 0.13 | 0.28 | 0.26 | 0.40 | 0.25 | 0.03 | 660.28 | 0.53 | 0.05 | 660.58 |
| 7 | 600 | 0.06 | 0.11 | 0.18 | 0.27 | 0.11 | 0.01 | 660.12 | 0.22 | 0.02 | 660.24 |
| 8 | 700 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.13 | 0.20 | 0.05 | 0.01 | 660.06 | 0.10 | 0.01 | 660.12 |
| 9 | 800 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.10 | 0.15 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 660.03 | 0.06 | 0.01 | 660.06 |
| 10 | 900 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.08 | 0.12 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 660.02 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 660.03 |
| 11 | 1000 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.07 | 0.10 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 660.01 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 660.02 |
| 12 | 1100 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.08 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 660.01 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 660.01 |

TABLE: 2.2 KILOWATTS \& COST OF PUMP SETS REQUIRED FOR DIFFERENT PIPE SIZES AND PIPE COST

|  |  |  | 1st stage flow in MLD |  | 4.47 | 2nd stage flow in MLD |  | 6.71 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S No | Pipe Dia in mm | class of Pipe | H1 total head in meters | KW reqd plus $50 \%$ stand by | pump cost <br> at Rs per Kw | H2 total head in meters | Kw required plus 50\% stand by | Pump cost at Rs per KW | cost of pipe per meter | total cost of pipe in thousand Rs |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5000 |  | 1914 |
| 1 | 250 | K9 | 670.58 | 1020 | 5102 | 681.17 | 1555 | 7774 | 2581 | 4940 |
| 2 | 300 | K9 | 663.53 | 1010 | 5048 | 667.06 | 1523 | 7613 | 3269 | 6257 |
| 3 | 350 | K9 | 661.76 | 1007 | 5035 | 663.53 | 1514 | 7572 | 4075 | 7800 |
| 4 | 400 | K9 | 660.86 | 1006 | 5028 | 661.70 | 1510 | 7552 | 4914 | 7800 |
| 5 | 450 | K9 | 660.46 | 1005 | 5025 | 660.96 | 1509 | 7543 | 5880 | 11254 |
| 6 | 500 | K9 | 660.28 | 1005 | 5024 | 660.58 | 1508 | 7539 | 6840 | 13092 |
| 7 | 600 | K9 | 660.12 | 1004 | 5022 | 660.24 | 1507 | 7535 | 7015 | 13427 |
| 8 | 700 | K9 | 660.06 | 1004 | 5022 | 660.12 | 1507 | 7533 | 9622 | 18417 |
| 9 | 800 | K9 | 660.03 | 1004 | 5022 | 660.06 | 1507 | 7533 | 12550 | 24021 |
| 10 | 900 | K9 | 660.02 | 1004 | 5022 | 660.03 | 1507 | 7533 | 15314 | 29311 |
| 11 | 1000 | K9 | 660.01 | 1004 | 5022 | 660.02 | 1506 | 7532 | 18354 | 35130 |
| 12 | 1100 | K9 | 660.01 | 1004 | 5021 | 660.01 | 1506 | 7532 | 21600 | 41342 |

TABLE: 2.3 COMPARATIVESTATEMENT OF OVERALL COST OF PUMPING MAIN FOR DIFFERENT PIPESIZES

|  | 1st stage flow |  | 4.47 | mld | 2nd stage flow |  | 6.71 | mld |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S No | Cost of pump set | Annual Energy Charges | capitalized energy cost | Pump cost+capitalized energy cost | Cost of pump set | Annual <br> Energy <br> Charges | capitalized energy cost | Pump cost+capitaliz ed energy cost | Present cost of pump and capitalized cost of 2nd stage | Pipe Dia | Grand total cost first and second stage |
|  | Thousand Rs | Thousand Rs | Thousand Rs | Thousand Rs | Thousand Rs | Thousand Rs | Thousand Rs | Thousand Rs | Thousand Rs | mm | Thousand Rs |
| 1 | 5,102 | 19,877 | 1,51,187 | 1,56,289 | 7,774 | 22,715 | 1,72,769 | 1,80,543 | 43,223 | 250 | 2,04,452 |
| 2 | 5,048 | 19,668 | 1,49,595 | 1,54,644 | 7,613 | 22,244 | 1,69,190 | 1,76,803 | 42,328 | 300 | 2,03,228 |
| 3 | 5,035 | 19,616 | 1,49,198 | 1,54,233 | 7,572 | 22,127 | 1,68,295 | 1,75,867 | 42,104 | 350 | 2,04,136 |
| 4 | 5,028 | 19,589 | 1,48,995 | 1,54,023 | 7,552 | 22,066 | 1,67,832 | 1,75,383 | 41,988 | 400 | 2,03,810 |
| 5 | 5,025 | 19,577 | 1,48,905 | 1,53,930 | 7,543 | 22,041 | 1,67,645 | 1,75,188 | 41,941 | 450 | 2,07,125 |
| 6 | 5,024 | 19,572 | 1,48,863 | 1,53,887 | 7,539 | 22,028 | 1,67,548 | 1,75,087 | 41,917 | 500 | 2,08,895 |
| 7 | 5,022 | 19,567 | 1,48,827 | 1,53,849 | 7,535 | 22,017 | 1,67,462 | 1,74,997 | 41,895 | 600 | 2,09,171 |
| 8 | 5,022 | 19,565 | 1,48,813 | 1,53,835 | 7,533 | 22,013 | 1,67,430 | 1,74,963 | 41,887 | 700 | 2,14,139 |
| 9 | 5,022 | 19,564 | 1,48,807 | 1,53,829 | 7,533 | 22,011 | 1,67,416 | 1,74,949 | 41,884 | 800 | 2,19,733 |
| 10 | 5,022 | 19,564 | 1,48,804 | 1,53,826 | 7,533 | 22,010 | 1,67,409 | 1,74,942 | 41,882 | 900 | 2,25,019 |
| 11 | 5,022 | 19,564 | 1,48,803 | 1,53,824 | 7,532 | 22,010 | 1,67,406 | 1,74,938 | 41,881 | 1,000 | 2,30,835 |
| 12 | 5,021 | 19,564 | 1,48,802 | 1,53,823 | 7,532 | 22,009 | 1,67,404 | 1,74,936 | 41,881 | 1,100 | 2,37,046 |
|  |  |  | Minimum Capitalized cost Rs |  | 2,03,228 | thousands |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Optimum pipe size corresponds to minimum capitalized cost |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Table: 3 Design of Rising Mains from Dummi to Ridge Tank (17.85MLD) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Water req | quirement: |  |  |  | Peak Discharge | Units | Pipe Dia in mm | Material | Class | HWC | Rate Rs/m |
| A. | Initial |  |  |  | 4.47 | mld | 250 | DI | K9 | 140 | 2581 |
| B. | Intermediate | (at the end of 1st Stage) |  |  | 4.47 | mld | 300 | DI | K9 | 140 | 3269 |
| C. | Ultimate |  |  |  | 8.94 | mld | 350 | DI | K9 | 140 | 4075 |
| 2) Pumping main |  |  |  | LENGTH | 10000 | M | 400 | DI | K9 | 140 | 4914 |
| 3) Static head for pump |  |  |  | ST.HEAD | 370.00 | M | 450 | DI | K9 | 140 | 5880 |
| 4) Design period |  |  |  | YEAR | 30 | yr. | 500 | DI | K9 | 140 | 6840 |
| 5) Combined eff. of pump set |  |  |  | EFF. \% | 75 | \% | 600 | DI | K9 | 140 | 9021 |
| 6) Cost of pumping unit |  |  |  | Rs./KW | 5000 | Rs | 700 | DI | K9 | 140 | 11667 |
| 7) Interest rate |  |  |  | INTEREST | 10.00 | \% | 800 | DI | K9 | 140 | 13092 |
| 8) Life of electric motor \& pump set |  |  |  | P.Yrs | 15 | yr. | 900 | DI | K9 | 140 | 14445 |
| 9) Energy charges per kWh |  |  |  | P/KWH | 500 | paise | 1000 | DI | K9 | 140 | 17169 |
| 10) Pumping hours for discharge at the end of 1st Stage |  |  |  | hours | 16 | hrs | 1100 | DI | K9 | 140 | 20884 |
| CALCULATIONS: |  |  |  |  | 1st Stage |  | 2nd Stage |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Discharge at Start OF PERIOD |  |  |  |  | 4.47 | mld | 4.47 | mld |  |  |  |
| 2) Discharge at the end of 1st Stage |  |  |  |  | 4.47 | mld | 8.94 | mld |  |  |  |
| 3) Average Flow |  |  |  |  | 52 | lps | 78 | lps |  |  |  |
| 4) Average Discharge |  |  |  |  | 4.47 | mld | 6.71 | mld |  |  |  |
| 5) Avg.pumping hours during the period |  |  |  |  | 16.00 | hrs | 12.00 | hrs |  |  |  |
| 6) KW required at combined efficiency of pumping set |  |  |  |  | 1.01 | * H1 | 1.52 | * H2 |  |  |  |
| 7) annual charges for energy Rs . |  |  |  |  | 29642 | * KW 1 | 33347 | * KW2 |  |  |  |
|  |  | Modified Hazen William's Formula |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{V}=$ | 143.534 CR r 0.65 | 75 S0.5525 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{h}=$ | [L(Q/CR)1.81]/[ | [994.62D4.81] |  |  |  |  |  |

Friction Head Loss (First Stage)

| Dia. in mm | L | Q | CR | Q/CR | (Q/CR)1.81 | 994.62 | D | D4.81 | h ( First Stage) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 250mm | 1000 | 0.052 | 1.000 | 0.052 | 0.005 | 994.620 | 0.250 | 0.001 | 5.027 |
| 300 mm | 1000 | 0.052 | 1.000 | 0.052 | 0.005 | 994.620 | 0.300 | 0.003 | 1.675 |
| 350 mm | 1000 | 0.052 | 1.000 | 0.052 | 0.005 | 994.620 | 0.350 | 0.006 | 0.837 |
| 400 mm | 1000 | 0.052 | 1.000 | 0.052 | 0.005 | 994.620 | 0.400 | 0.012 | 0.410 |
| 450 mm | 1000 | 0.052 | 1.000 | 0.052 | 0.005 | 994.620 | 0.450 | 0.021 | 0.220 |
| 500 mm | 1000 | 0.052 | 1.000 | 0.052 | 0.005 | 994.620 | 0.500 | 0.036 | 0.133 |
| 600 mm | 1000 | 0.052 | 1.000 | 0.052 | 0.005 | 994.620 | 0.600 | 0.086 | 0.055 |
| 700 mm | 1000 | 0.052 | 1.000 | 0.052 | 0.005 | 994.620 | 0.700 | 0.180 | 0.026 |
| 800 mm | 1000 | 0.052 | 1.000 | 0.052 | 0.005 | 994.620 | 0.800 | 0.342 | 0.014 |
| 900 mm | 1000 | 0.052 | 1.000 | 0.052 | 0.005 | 994.620 | 0.900 | 0.602 | 0.008 |
| 1000 mm | 1000 | 0.052 | 1.000 | 0.052 | 0.005 | 994.620 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 0.005 |
| 1100 mm | 1000 | 0.052 | 1.000 | 0.052 | 0.005 | 994.620 | 1.100 | 1.582 | 0.003 |


| Velocity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Dia. in mm | 143.534 | CR | $\mathrm{r}=\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{P}=\mathrm{D} / 4$ | r 0.6575 | S |  | S 0.5525 | V |
| 250 | 143.534 | 1.000 | 0.063 | 0.162 | 0.005 | 0.054 | 1.245 |  |
| 300 | 143.534 | 1.000 | 0.075 | 0.182 | 0.002 | 0.029 | 0.765 |  |
| 350 | 143.534 | 1.000 | 0.088 | 0.202 | 0.001 | 0.020 | 0.577 |  |
| 400 | 143.534 | 1.000 | 0.100 | 0.220 | 0.000 | 0.013 | 0.425 |  |
| 450 | 143.534 | 1.000 | 0.113 | 0.238 | 0.000 | 0.010 | 0.325 |  |
| 500 | 143.534 | 1.000 | 0.125 | 0.255 | 0.000 | 0.007 | 0.263 |  |
| 600 | 143.534 | 1.000 | 0.150 | 0.287 | 0.000 | 0.004 | 0.183 |  |
| 700 | 143.534 | 1.000 | 0.175 | 0.318 | 0.000 | 0.003 | 0.134 |  |
| 800 | 143.534 | 1.000 | 0.200 | 0.347 | 0.000 | 0.002 | 0.103 |  |
| 900 | 143.534 | 1.000 | 0.225 | 0.375 | 0.000 | 0.002 | 0.081 |  |
| 1000 | 143.534 | 1.000 | 0.250 | 0.402 | 0.000 | 0.001 | 0.066 |  |
| 1100 | 143.534 | 1.000 | 0.275 | 0.428 | 0.000 | 0.001 | 0.054 |  |


| Friction Head Loss (Second Stage) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dia. in mm | L | Q | CR | Q/CR | (Q/CR)1.81 | 994.62 | D | D4.81 | h( Second Stage) |
| 250 mm | 1,000.000 | 0.078 | 1.000 | 0.078 | 0.010 | 994.620 | 0.250 | 0.001 | 10.054 |
| 300 mm | 1,000.000 | 0.078 | 1.000 | 0.078 | 0.010 | 994.620 | 0.300 | 0.003 | 3.351 |
| 350 mm | 1,000.000 | 0.078 | 1.000 | 0.078 | 0.010 | 994.620 | 0.350 | 0.006 | 1.675 |
| 400 mm | 1,000.000 | 0.078 | 1.000 | 0.078 | 0.010 | 994.620 | 0.400 | 0.012 | 0.807 |
| 450 mm | 1,000.000 | 0.078 | 1.000 | 0.078 | 0.010 | 994.620 | 0.450 | 0.021 | 0.458 |
| 500 mm | 1,000.000 | 0.078 | 1.000 | 0.078 | 0.010 | 994.620 | 0.500 | 0.036 | 0.276 |
| 600 mm | 1,000.000 | 0.078 | 1.000 | 0.078 | 0.010 | 994.620 | 0.600 | 0.086 | 0.115 |
| 700 mm | 1,000.000 | 0.078 | 1.000 | 0.078 | 0.010 | 994.620 | 0.700 | 0.180 | 0.055 |
| 800 mm | 1,000.000 | 0.078 | 1.000 | 0.078 | 0.010 | 994.620 | 0.800 | 0.342 | 0.029 |
| 900 mm | 1,000.000 | 0.078 | 1.000 | 0.078 | 0.010 | 994.620 | 0.900 | 0.602 | 0.016 |
| 1000 mm | 1,000.000 | 0.078 | 1.000 | 0.078 | 0.010 | 994.620 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 0.010 |
| 1100 mm | 1,000.000 | 0.078 | 1.000 | 0.078 | 0.010 | 994.620 | 1.100 | 1.582 | 0.006 |
| Velocity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dia. in mm | 143.534 | CR | $\mathrm{r}=\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{P}=\mathrm{D} / 4$ | r 0.6575 | S | S0.5525 | V |  |  |
| 250 | 143.534 | 1.000 | 0.063 | 0.162 | 0.010 | 0.079 | 1.836 |  |  |
| 300 | 143.534 | 1.000 | 0.075 | 0.182 | 0.003 | 0.043 | 1.122 |  |  |
| 350 | 143.534 | 1.000 | 0.088 | 0.202 | 0.002 | 0.029 | 0.846 |  |  |
| 400 | 143.534 | 1.000 | 0.100 | 0.220 | 0.001 | 0.020 | 0.618 |  |  |
| 450 | 143.534 | 1.000 | 0.113 | 0.238 | 0.000 | 0.014 | 0.488 |  |  |
| 500 | 143.534 | 1.000 | 0.125 | 0.255 | 0.000 | 0.011 | 0.395 |  |  |
| 600 | 143.534 | 1.000 | 0.150 | 0.287 | 0.000 | 0.007 | 0.274 |  |  |
| 700 | 143.534 | 1.000 | 0.175 | 0.318 | 0.000 | 0.004 | 0.202 |  |  |
| 800 | 143.534 | 1.000 | 0.200 | 0.347 | 0.000 | 0.003 | 0.154 |  |  |
| 900 | 143.534 | 1.000 | 0.225 | 0.375 | 0.000 | 0.002 | 0.122 |  |  |
| 1000 | 143.534 | 1.000 | 0.250 | 0.402 | 0.000 | 0.002 | 0.099 |  |  |
| 1100 | 143.534 | 1.000 | 0.275 | 0.428 | 0.000 | 0.001 | 0.082 |  |  |


| TABLE: 3.1 <br>  <br> S No | VELOCIT | Y AND HEA | DLOSSES FOR DIFFERENT PIPESIZES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pipe Size in mm | friction head loss per 1000 m |  | Velocity in $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{sec}$ | Velocity in $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{sec}$ | Friction head loss | other <br> losses at 10\% | total losses (H1) including static head | Friction head loss in total pipe length | other losses at $10 \%$ | total losses (H2) including static head |
|  |  | 1st stage flow | 2nd stage flow | 1st stage flow | 2nd stage flow | 1st stage flow |  | 370 | 10000.00 |  | 370 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | nd stage flow |  |
| 1 | 250 | 5.03 | 10.05 | 1.25 | 1.84 | 50.27 | 5.03 | 425.30 | 100.54 | 10.05 | 480.59 |
| 2 | 300 | 1.68 | 3.35 | 0.76 | 1.12 | 16.75 | 1.68 | 388.43 | 33.51 | 3.35 | 406.86 |
| 3 | 350 | 0.84 | 1.68 | 0.58 | 0.85 | 8.37 | 0.84 | 379.21 | 16.75 | 1.68 | 388.43 |
| 4 | 400 | 0.41 | 0.81 | 0.42 | 0.62 | 4.10 | 0.41 | 374.51 | 8.07 | 0.81 | 378.88 |
| 5 | 450 | 0.22 | 0.46 | 0.33 | 0.49 | 2.20 | 0.22 | 372.42 | 4.58 | 0.46 | 375.04 |
| 6 | 500 | 0.13 | 0.28 | 0.26 | 0.40 | 1.33 | 0.13 | 371.46 | 2.76 | 0.28 | 373.04 |
| 7 | 600 | 0.06 | 0.11 | 0.18 | 0.27 | 0.55 | 0.06 | 370.61 | 1.15 | 0.11 | 371.26 |
| 8 | 700 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.13 | 0.20 | 0.26 | 0.03 | 370.29 | 0.55 | 0.05 | 370.60 |
| 9 | 800 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.10 | 0.15 | 0.14 | 0.01 | 370.15 | 0.29 | 0.03 | 370.32 |
| 10 | 900 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.08 | 0.12 | 0.08 | 0.01 | 370.09 | 0.16 | 0.02 | 370.18 |
| 11 | 1000 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.07 | 0.10 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 370.05 | 0.10 | 0.01 | 370.11 |
| 12 | 1100 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.08 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 370.03 | 0.06 | 0.01 | 370.07 |

TABLE: 3.2 KILOWATTS \& COST OF PUMP SETS REQUIRED FOR DIFFERENT PIPESIZES AND PIPE COST

|  |  |  | 1st stage flow in MLD |  | 4.47pump costat Rs perKw | 2nd stage flow in MLD |  | 6.71 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S No | Pipe Dia in mm | class of Pipe | H1 total head in meters | KW reqd plus 50\% standby |  | H2 total head in meters | Kw required plus 50\% standby | Pump cost at Rs per KW | cost of pipe per meter | total cost of pipe in thousand Rs |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5000 |  | 10000 |
| 1 | 250 | K9 | 425.30 | 647 | 3236 | 480.59 | 1097 | 5485 | 2581 | 25810 |
| 2 | 300 | K9 | 388.43 | 591 | 2955 | 406.86 | 929 | 4643 | 3269 | 32690 |
| 3 | 350 | K9 | 379.21 | 577 | 2885 | 388.43 | 887 | 4433 | 4075 | 40750 |
| 4 | 400 | K9 | 374.51 | 570 | 2849 | 378.88 | 865 | 4324 | 4914 | 40750 |
| 5 | 450 | K9 | 372.42 | 567 | 2833 | 375.04 | 856 | 4280 | 5880 | 58800 |
| 6 | 500 | K9 | 371.46 | 565 | 2826 | 373.04 | 851 | 4257 | 6840 | 68400 |
| 7 | 600 | K9 | 370.61 | 564 | 2820 | 371.26 | 847 | 4237 | 7015 | 70150 |
| 8 | 700 | K9 | 370.29 | 563 | 2817 | 370.60 | 846 | 4229 | 9622 | 96220 |
| 9 | 800 | K9 | 370.15 | 563 | 2816 | 370.32 | 845 | 4226 | 12550 | 125500 |
| 10 | 900 | K9 | 370.09 | 563 | 2816 | 370.18 | 845 | 4225 | 15314 | 153140 |
| 11 | 1000 | K9 | 370.05 | 563 | 2815 | 370.11 | 845 | 4224 | 18354 | 183540 |
| 12 | 1100 | K9 | 370.03 | 563 | 2815 | 370.07 | 845 | 4223 | 21600 | 216000 |

TABLE: 3.3 COMPARATIVES TATEMENT OF OVERALL COST OF PUMPING MAIN FOR DIFFERENT PIPESIZES

|  | 1st stage flow |  | 4.47 <br> capitalize d energy cost | mld <br> Pump cost+capi talized energy cost | 2nd stage flow |  | 6.71 <br> capitalized energy cost | mld <br> Pump cost+capital ized energy cost | Present cost of pump and capitalize d cost of | Pipe Dia | Grand total cost first and second stage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S No | Cost of pump set | Annual Energy Charges |  |  | Cost of pump set | Annual Energy Charges |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Thousan | Thousand | Thousand | Thousand | Thousand R | Thousand | Thousand R. | Thousand R | Thous and | mm | Thousand Rs |
| 1 | 3,236 | 12,607 | 95,885 | 99,121 | 5,485 | 16,026 | 1,21,896 | 1,27,381 | 30,496 | 250 | 1,55,427 |
| 2 | 2,955 | 11,514 | 87,572 | 90,528 | 4,643 | 13,568 | 1,03,195 | 1,07,838 | 25,817 | 300 | 1,49,035 |
| 3 | 2,885 | 11,240 | 85,494 | 88,379 | 4,433 | 12,953 | 98,519 | 1,02,952 | 24,647 | 350 | 1,53,777 |
| 4 | 2,849 | 11,101 | 84,435 | 87,285 | 4,324 | 12,635 | 96,099 | 1,00,422 | 24,042 | 400 | 1,52,076 |
| 5 | 2,833 | 11,039 | 83,964 | 86,797 | 4,280 | 12,506 | 95,124 | 99,404 | 23,798 | 450 | 1,69,395 |
| 6 | 2,826 | 11,011 | 83,747 | 86,573 | 4,257 | 12,440 | 94,616 | 98,873 | 23,671 | 500 | 1,78,644 |
| 7 | 2,820 | 10,985 | 83,555 | 86,375 | 4,237 | 12,381 | 94,166 | 98,403 | 23,558 | 600 | 1,80,083 |
| 8 | 2,817 | 10,976 | 83,484 | 86,301 | 4,229 | 12,358 | 93,998 | 98,228 | 23,516 | 700 | 2,06,037 |
| 9 | 2,816 | 10,972 | 83,453 | 86,269 | 4,226 | 12,349 | 93,926 | 98,152 | 23,498 | 800 | 2,35,267 |
| 10 | 2,816 | 10,970 | 83,438 | 86,254 | 4,225 | 12,344 | 93,891 | 98,116 | 23,490 | 900 | 2,62,883 |
| 11 | 2,815 | 10,969 | 83,430 | 86,246 | 4,224 | 12,342 | 93,873 | 98,097 | 23,485 | 1,000 | 2,93,271 |
| 12 | 2,815 | 10,968 | 83,426 | 86,241 | 4,223 | 12,341 | 93,863 | 98,086 | 23,483 | 1,100 | 3,25,724 |
|  |  |  | Minimum Capitalized |  | 1,49,035 thousands |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Optimum pipe size corresponds to minimum capitalized cost |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Table: 4 Design of Rising Mains from Dawada to Naug (65\%-33.15MLD) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Water requirement : |  |  |  | Peak Discharge | Units | Pipe Dia in mm | Material | Class | HWC | Rate Rs/m |
| A. | Initial |  |  | 8.30 | mld | 250 | DI | K9 | 140 | 2581 |
| B. | Intermediate | (at the end of 1st Stage) |  | 8.30 | mld | 300 | DI | K9 | 140 | 3269 |
| C. | Ultimate |  |  | 16.60 | mld | 350 | DI | K9 | 140 | 4075 |
| 2) Pumping main |  |  | LENGTH | 6100 | M | 400 | DI | K9 | 140 | 4914 |
| 3) Static head for pump |  |  | ST.HEAD | 344.00 | M | 450 | DI | K9 | 140 | 5880 |
| 4) Design period |  |  | YEAR | 30 | yr. | 500 | DI | K9 | 140 | 6840 |
| 5) Combined eff. of pump set |  |  | EFF. \% | 75 | \% | 600 | DI | K9 | 140 | 9021 |
| 6) Cost of pumping unit |  |  | Rs./KW | 5000 | Rs | 700 | DI | K9 | 140 | 11667 |
| 7) Interest rate |  |  | INTEREST | 10.00 | \% | 800 | DI | K9 | 140 | 13092 |
| 8) Life of electric motor \& pump set |  |  | P.Yrs | 15 | yr. | 900 | DI | K9 | 140 | 14445 |
| 9) Energy charges per kWh |  |  | P/KWH | 500 | paise | 1000 | DI | K9 | 140 | 17169 |
| 10) Pumping hours for discharge at the end of 1st Stage |  |  | hours | 16 | hrs | 1100 | DI | K9 | 140 | 20884 |
| CALCULATIONS: |  |  |  | 1st Stage |  | 2nd Stage |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Dis charge at Start OF PERIOD |  |  |  | 8.30 | mld | 8.30 | mld |  |  |  |
| 2) Discharge at the end of 1st Stage |  |  |  | 8.30 | mld | 16.60 | mld |  |  |  |
| 3) Average Flow |  |  |  | 96 | lps | 144 | lps |  |  |  |
| 4) Average Discharge |  |  |  | 8.30 | mld | 12.45 | mld |  |  |  |
| 5) Avg.pumping hours during the period |  |  |  | 16.00 | hrs | 12.00 | hrs |  |  |  |
| 6) KW required at combined efficiency of pumping set |  |  |  | 1.88 | * H1 | 2.83 | * H2 |  |  |  |
| 7) annual charges for energy Rs. |  |  |  | 55039 | * KW 1 | 61919 | * KW2 |  |  |  |
|  |  | Modified Hazen William's Formula |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{V}=$ | 143.534CR r0.6575 S0.5525 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{h}=$ | [L(Q/CR)1.81 ]/[994.62D4.81] |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Friction He | ad Loss (Firs | st Stage) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dia. in mm | L | Q | CR | Q/CR | (Q/CR)1.81 | 994.62 | D | D4.81 | h( First Stage) |
| 250 mm | 1000 | 0.096 | 1.000 | 0.096 | 0.014 | 994.620 | 0.250 | 0.001 | 14.070 |
| 300 mm | 1000 | 0.096 | 1.000 | 0.096 | 0.014 | 994.620 | 0.300 | 0.003 | 4.690 |
| 350 mm | 1000 | 0.096 | 1.000 | 0.096 | 0.014 | 994.620 | 0.350 | 0.006 | 2.340 |
| 400 mm | 1000 | 0.096 | 1.000 | 0.096 | 0.014 | 994.620 | 0.400 | 0.012 | 1.173 |
| 450 mm | 1000 | 0.096 | 1.000 | 0.096 | 0.014 | 994.620 | 0.450 | 0.021 | 0.674 |
| 500 mm | 1000 | 0.096 | 1.000 | 0.096 | 0.014 | 994.620 | 0.500 | 0.036 | 0.406 |
| 600 mm | 1000 | 0.096 | 1.000 | 0.096 | 0.014 | 994.620 | 0.600 | 0.086 | 0.169 |
| 700 mm | 1000 | 0.096 | 1.000 | 0.096 | 0.014 | 994.620 | 0.700 | 0.180 | 0.081 |
| 800 mm | 1000 | 0.096 | 1.000 | 0.096 | 0.014 | 994.620 | 0.800 | 0.342 | 0.042 |
| 900 mm | 1000 | 0.096 | 1.000 | 0.096 | 0.014 | 994.620 | 0.900 | 0.602 | 0.024 |
| 1000 mm | 1000 | 0.096 | 1.000 | 0.096 | 0.014 | 994.620 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 0.014 |
| 1100 mm | 1000 | 0.096 | 1.000 | 0.096 | 0.014 | 994.620 | 1.100 | 1.582 | 0.009 |
| Velocity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dia. in mm | 143.534 | CR | $\mathrm{r}=\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{P}=\mathrm{D} / 4$ | r0.6575 | S | S0.5525 | V |  |  |
| 250 | 143.534 | 1.000 | 0.063 | 0.162 | 0.014 | 0.095 | 2.199 |  |  |
| 300 | 143.534 | 1.000 | 0.075 | 0.182 | 0.005 | 0.052 | 1.351 |  |  |
| 350 | 143.534 | 1.000 | 0.088 | 0.202 | 0.002 | 0.035 | 1.018 |  |  |
| 400 | 143.534 | 1.000 | 0.100 | 0.220 | 0.001 | 0.024 | 0.759 |  |  |
| 450 | 143.534 | 1.000 | 0.113 | 0.238 | 0.001 | 0.018 | 0.604 |  |  |
| 500 | 143.534 | 1.000 | 0.125 | 0.255 | 0.000 | 0.013 | 0.489 |  |  |
| 600 | 143.534 | 1.000 | 0.150 | 0.287 | 0.000 | 0.008 | 0.340 |  |  |
| 700 | 143.534 | 1.000 | 0.175 | 0.318 | 0.000 | 0.005 | 0.250 |  |  |
| 800 | 143.534 | 1.000 | 0.200 | 0.347 | 0.000 | 0.004 | 0.191 |  |  |
| 900 | 143.534 | 1.000 | 0.225 | 0.375 | 0.000 | 0.003 | 0.151 |  |  |
| 1000 | 143.534 | 1.000 | 0.250 | 0.402 | 0.000 | 0.002 | 0.122 |  |  |
| 1100 | 143.534 | 1.000 | 0.275 | 0.428 | 0.000 | 0.002 | 0.101 |  |  |

## Friction Head Loss (Second Stage)

| Dia. in mm | L | Q | CR | Q/CR | (Q/CR)1.81 | 994.62 | D | D4.81 | h( Second Stage) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 250 mm | 1,000.000 | 0.144 | 1.000 | 0.144 | 0.030 | 994.620 | 0.250 | 0.001 | 30.162 |
| 300 mm | 1,000.000 | 0.144 | 1.000 | 0.144 | 0.030 | 994.620 | 0.300 | 0.003 | 10.050 |
| 350 mm | 1,000.000 | 0.144 | 1.000 | 0.144 | 0.030 | 994.620 | 0.350 | 0.006 | 5.027 |
| 400 mm | 1,000.000 | 0.144 | 1.000 | 0.144 | 0.030 | 994.620 | 0.400 | 0.012 | 2.475 |
| 450 mm | 1,000.000 | 0.144 | 1.000 | 0.144 | 0.030 | 994.620 | 0.450 | 0.021 | 1.405 |
| 500 mm | 1,000.000 | 0.144 | 1.000 | 0.144 | 0.030 | 994.620 | 0.500 | 0.036 | 0.846 |
| 600 mm | 1,000.000 | 0.144 | 1.000 | 0.144 | 0.030 | 994.620 | 0.600 | 0.086 | 0.352 |
| 700 mm | 1,000.000 | 0.144 | 1.000 | 0.144 | 0.030 | 994.620 | 0.700 | 0.180 | 0.168 |
| 800 mm | 1,000.000 | 0.144 | 1.000 | 0.144 | 0.030 | 994.620 | 0.800 | 0.342 | 0.088 |
| 900 mm | 1,000.000 | 0.144 | 1.000 | 0.144 | 0.030 | 994.620 | 0.900 | 0.602 | 0.050 |
| 1000 mm | 1,000.000 | 0.144 | 1.000 | 0.144 | 0.030 | 994.620 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 0.030 |
| 1100 mm | 1,000.000 | 0.144 | 1.000 | 0.144 | 0.030 | 994.620 | 1.100 | 1.582 | 0.019 |


| Velocity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Dia. in mm | 143.534 | CR | $\mathrm{r}=\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{P}=\mathrm{D} / 4$ | r 0.6575 | S | $\mathrm{SO.5525}$ | V |  |  |
| 250 | 143.534 | 1.000 | 0.063 | 0.162 | 0.030 | 0.145 | 3.351 |  |  |
| 300 | 143.534 | 1.000 | 0.075 | 0.182 | 0.010 | 0.079 | 2.058 |  |  |
| 350 | 143.534 | 1.000 | 0.088 | 0.202 | 0.005 | 0.054 | 1.553 |  |  |
| 400 | 143.534 | 1.000 | 0.100 | 0.220 | 0.002 | 0.036 | 1.147 |  |  |
| 450 | 143.534 | 1.000 | 0.113 | 0.238 | 0.001 | 0.027 | 0.906 |  |  |
| 500 | 143.534 | 1.000 | 0.125 | 0.255 | 0.001 | 0.020 | 0.734 |  |  |
| 600 | 143.534 | 1.000 | 0.150 | 0.287 | 0.000 | 0.012 | 0.510 |  |  |
| 700 | 143.534 | 1.000 | 0.175 | 0.318 | 0.000 | 0.008 | 0.374 |  |  |
| 800 | 143.534 | 1.000 | 0.200 | 0.347 | 0.000 | 0.006 | 0.287 |  |  |
| 900 | 143.534 | 1.000 | 0.225 | 0.375 | 0.000 | 0.004 | 0.226 |  |  |
| 1000 | 143.534 | 1.000 | 0.250 | 0.402 | 0.000 | 0.003 | 0.183 |  |  |
| 1100 | 143.534 | 1.000 | 0.275 | 0.428 | 0.000 | 0.002 | 0.152 |  |  |


| TABLE: 4.1 <br>  <br> S No | 1 VELOCITY AND |  | EADLOSSES FOR DIFFERENT PIPESIZES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pipe Size in mm | friction head loss per 1000 m |  | Velocity in $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{sec}$ | Velocity in $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{sec}$ | Friction head loss | other <br> losses at $10 \%$ | total <br> losses <br> (H1) <br> including <br> static head | Friction <br> head loss <br> in total <br> pipe <br> length | other <br> losses at $10 \%$ | total <br> losses <br> (H2) <br> including <br> static head |
|  |  | 1st stage flow | 2nd stage flow | 1st stage flow | 2nd stage flow | 1st stage flow |  | 344 | 6100.00 |  | 344 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | nd stage flo |  |
| 1 | 250 | 14.07 | 30.16 | 2.20 | 3.35 | 85.83 | 8.58 | 438.41 | 183.99 | 18.40 | 546.39 |
| 2 | 300 | 4.69 | 10.05 | 1.35 | 2.06 | 28.61 | 2.86 | 375.47 | 61.31 | 6.13 | 411.44 |
| 3 | 350 | 2.34 | 5.03 | 1.02 | 1.55 | 14.27 | 1.43 | 359.70 | 30.66 | 3.07 | 377.73 |
| 4 | 400 | 1.17 | 2.48 | 0.76 | 1.15 | 7.16 | 0.72 | 351.87 | 15.10 | 1.51 | 360.61 |
| 5 | 450 | 0.67 | 1.40 | 0.60 | 0.91 | 4.11 | 0.41 | 348.52 | 8.57 | 0.86 | 353.42 |
| 6 | 500 | 0.41 | 0.85 | 0.49 | 0.73 | 2.48 | 0.25 | 346.73 | 5.16 | 0.52 | 349.68 |
| 7 | 600 | 0.17 | 0.35 | 0.34 | 0.51 | 1.03 | 0.10 | 345.13 | 2.15 | 0.21 | 346.36 |
| 8 | 700 | 0.08 | 0.17 | 0.25 | 0.37 | 0.49 | 0.05 | 344.54 | 1.02 | 0.10 | 345.13 |
| 9 | 800 | 0.04 | 0.09 | 0.19 | 0.29 | 0.26 | 0.03 | 344.28 | 0.54 | 0.05 | 344.59 |
| 10 | 900 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.15 | 0.23 | 0.15 | 0.01 | 344.16 | 0.31 | 0.03 | 344.34 |
| 11 | 1000 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.12 | 0.18 | 0.09 | 0.01 | 344.10 | 0.18 | 0.02 | 344.20 |
| 12 | 1100 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.10 | 0.15 | 0.06 | 0.01 | 344.06 | 0.12 | 0.01 | 344.13 |

TABLE: 4.2 KILOWATTS \& COST OF PUMP SETS REQUIRED FOR DIFFERENT PIPESIZES AND PIPE COST

|  |  |  | 1st stage flow in MLD |  | 8.30pump cost <br> at Rs per <br> Kw | 2nd stage flow in MLD |  |  12.45 <br> Pump cost  <br> at Rs per  <br> KW  |  <br> cost of <br> pipe per <br> meter | total cost of pipe in thousand Rs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S No | Pipe Dia in mm | class of Pipe | H1 total head in meters | KW reqd plus $50 \%$ stand by |  | H2 total head in meters | Kw required plus $50 \%$ stand by |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5000 |  | 6100 |
| 1 | 250 | K9 | 438.41 | 1239 | 6193 | 546.39 | 2316 | 11578 | 2581 | 15744 |
| 2 | 300 | K9 | 375.47 | 1061 | 5304 | 411.44 | 1744 | 8719 | 3269 | 19941 |
| 3 | 350 | K9 | 359.70 | 1016 | 5082 | 377.73 | 1601 | 8004 | 4075 | 24858 |
| 4 | 400 | K9 | 351.87 | 994 | 4971 | 360.61 | 1528 | 7642 | 4914 | 24858 |
| 5 | 450 | K9 | 348.52 | 985 | 4924 | 353.42 | 1498 | 7489 | 5880 | 35868 |
| 6 | 500 | K9 | 346.73 | 980 | 4898 | 349.68 | 1482 | 7410 | 6840 | 41724 |
| 7 | 600 | K9 | 345.13 | 975 | 4876 | 346.36 | 1468 | 7340 | 7015 | 42792 |
| 8 | 700 | K9 | 344.54 | 973 | 4867 | 345.13 | 1463 | 7313 | 9622 | 58694 |
| 9 | 800 | K9 | 344.28 | 973 | 4864 | 344.59 | 1460 | 7302 | 12550 | 76555 |
| 10 | 900 | K9 | 344.16 | 972 | 4862 | 344.34 | 1459 | 7297 | 15314 | 93415 |
| 11 | 1000 | K9 | 344.10 | 972 | 4861 | 344.20 | 1459 | 7294 | 18354 | 111959 |
| 12 | 1100 | K9 | 344.06 | 972 | 4861 | 344.13 | 1458 | 7292 | 21600 | 131760 |

TABLE: 4.3 COMPARATIVESTATEMENT OF OVERALL COST OF PUMPING MAIN FOR DIFFERENT PIPESIZES

|  | 1st stage flow |  | 8.30 | mld | 2nd stage flow |  | 12.45 | mld |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S No | Cost of pump set | Annual <br> Energy <br> Charges | capitalized energy cost | Pump cost+capitalized energy cost | Cost of pump set | Annual <br> Energy <br> Charges | capitalized energy cost | Pump cost+capitaliz ed energy cost | Present cost of pump and capitalized cost of 2nd stage | Pipe Dia | Grand total cost first and second stage |
|  | Thous and Rs | Thousand Rs | Thousand Rs | Thousand Rs | Thousand Rs | Thousand Rs | Thousand Rs | Thous and Rs | Thousand Rs | mm | Thousand Rs |
| 1 | 6,193 | 24,130 | 1,83,532 | 1,89,725 | 11,578 | 33,832 | 2,57,326 | 2,68,904 | 64,377 | 250 | 2,69,847 |
| 2 | 5,304 | 20,666 | 1,57,183 | 1,62,487 | 8,719 | 25,476 | 1,93,769 | 2,02,488 | 48,477 | 300 | 2,30,905 |
| 3 | 5,082 | 19,798 | 1,50,582 | 1,55,663 | 8,004 | 23,389 | 1,77,896 | 1,85,900 | 44,506 | 350 | 2,25,027 |
| 4 | 4,971 | 19,367 | 1,47,304 | 1,52,275 | 7,642 | 22,329 | 1,69,832 | 1,77,473 | 42,488 | 400 | 2,19,620 |
| 5 | 4,924 | 19,183 | 1,45,903 | 1,50,827 | 7,489 | 21,884 | 1,66,449 | 1,73,938 | 41,642 | 450 | 2,28,336 |
| 6 | 4,898 | 19,084 | 1,45,150 | 1,50,048 | 7,410 | 21,652 | 1,64,684 | 1,72,094 | 41,200 | 500 | 2,32,972 |
| 7 | 4,876 | 18,996 | 1,44,484 | 1,49,359 | 7,340 | 21,447 | 1,63,122 | 1,70,462 | 40,810 | 600 | 2,32,961 |
| 8 | 4,867 | 18,963 | 1,44,235 | 1,49,102 | 7,313 | 21,370 | 1,62,540 | 1,69,853 | 40,664 | 700 | 2,48,461 |
| 9 | 4,864 | 18,949 | 1,44,128 | 1,48,992 | 7,302 | 21,337 | 1,62,289 | 1,69,591 | 40,601 | 800 | 2,66,148 |
| 10 | 4,862 | 18,942 | 1,44,076 | 1,48,938 | 7,297 | 21,321 | 1,62,168 | 1,69,465 | 40,571 | 900 | 2,82,925 |
| 11 | 4,861 | 18,939 | 1,44,050 | 1,48,911 | 7,294 | 21,313 | 1,62,105 | 1,69,399 | 40,555 | 1,000 | 3,01,425 |
| 12 | 4,861 | 18,937 | 1,44,035 | 1,48,895 | 7,292 | 21,308 | 1,62,070 | 1,69,363 | 40,546 | 1,100 | 3,21,202 |
|  |  |  | Minimum Capitalized cost Rs |  | 2,19,620 | thousands |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Optimum pipe size corresponds to minimum capitalized cost |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



## Friction Head Loss (First Stage)

| Dia. in mm | L | Q | CR | Q/CR | (Q/CR)1.81 | 994.62 | D | D4.81 | h( First Stage) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 250 mm | 1000 | 0.096 | 1.000 | 0.096 | 0.014 | 994.620 | 0.250 | 0.001 | 14.070 |
| 300 mm | 1000 | 0.096 | 1.000 | 0.096 | 0.014 | 994.620 | 0.300 | 0.003 | 4.690 |
| 350 mm | 1000 | 0.096 | 1.000 | 0.096 | 0.014 | 994.620 | 0.350 | 0.006 | 2.340 |
| 400 mm | 1000 | 0.096 | 1.000 | 0.096 | 0.014 | 994.620 | 0.400 | 0.012 | 1.173 |
| 450 mm | 1000 | 0.096 | 1.000 | 0.096 | 0.014 | 994.620 | 0.450 | 0.021 | 0.674 |
| 500 mm | 1000 | 0.096 | 1.000 | 0.096 | 0.014 | 994.620 | 0.500 | 0.036 | 0.406 |
| 600 mm | 1000 | 0.096 | 1.000 | 0.096 | 0.014 | 994.620 | 0.600 | 0.086 | 0.169 |
| 700 mm | 1000 | 0.096 | 1.000 | 0.096 | 0.014 | 994.620 | 0.700 | 0.180 | 0.081 |
| 800 mm | 1000 | 0.096 | 1.000 | 0.096 | 0.014 | 994.620 | 0.800 | 0.342 | 0.042 |
| 900 mm | 1000 | 0.096 | 1.000 | 0.096 | 0.014 | 994.620 | 0.900 | 0.602 | 0.024 |
| 1000 mm | 1000 | 0.096 | 1.000 | 0.096 | 0.014 | 994.620 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 0.014 |
| 1100 mm | 1000 | 0.096 | 1.000 | 0.096 | 0.014 | 994.620 | 1.100 | 1.582 | 0.009 |


| Velocity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Dia. in mm | 143.534 | CR | $\mathrm{r}=\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{P}=\mathrm{D} / 4$ | r 0.6575 | S | S 0.5525 | V |
| 250 | 143.534 | 1.000 | 0.063 | 0.162 | 0.014 | 0.095 | 2.199 |
| 300 | 143.534 | 1.000 | 0.075 | 0.182 | 0.005 | 0.052 | 1.351 |
| 350 | 143.534 | 1.000 | 0.088 | 0.202 | 0.002 | 0.035 | 1.018 |
| 400 | 143.534 | 1.000 | 0.100 | 0.220 | 0.001 | 0.024 | 0.759 |
| 450 | 143.534 | 1.000 | 0.113 | 0.238 | 0.001 | 0.018 | 0.604 |
| 500 | 143.534 | 1.000 | 0.125 | 0.255 | 0.000 | 0.013 | 0.489 |
| 600 | 143.534 | 1.000 | 0.150 | 0.287 | 0.000 | 0.008 | 0.340 |
| 700 | 143.534 | 1.000 | 0.175 | 0.318 | 0.000 | 0.005 | 0.250 |
| 800 | 143.534 | 1.000 | 0.200 | 0.347 | 0.000 | 0.004 | 0.191 |
| 900 | 143.534 | 1.000 | 0.225 | 0.375 | 0.000 | 0.003 | 0.151 |
| 1000 | 143.534 | 1.000 | 0.250 | 0.402 | 0.000 | 0.002 | 0.122 |
| 1100 | 143.534 | 1.000 | 0.275 | 0.428 | 0.000 | 0.002 | 0.101 |


| Friction Head Loss (Second Stage) |  |  | CR | Q/CR | (Q/CR)1.81 | 994.62 | D | D4.81 | h ( Second Stage) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dia. in mm | L | Q |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 250 mm | 1,000.000 | 0.144 | 1.000 | 0.144 | 0.030 | 994.620 | 0.250 | 0.001 | 30.162 |
| 300 mm | 1,000.000 | 0.144 | 1.000 | 0.144 | 0.030 | 994.620 | 0.300 | 0.003 | 10.050 |
| 350 mm | 1,000.000 | 0.144 | 1.000 | 0.144 | 0.030 | 994.620 | 0.350 | 0.006 | 5.027 |
| 400 mm | 1,000.000 | 0.144 | 1.000 | 0.144 | 0.030 | 994.620 | 0.400 | 0.012 | 2.475 |
| 450 mm | 1,000.000 | 0.144 | 1.000 | 0.144 | 0.030 | 994.620 | 0.450 | 0.021 | 1.405 |
| 500 mm | 1,000.000 | 0.144 | 1.000 | 0.144 | 0.030 | 994.620 | 0.500 | 0.036 | 0.846 |
| 600 mm | 1,000.000 | 0.144 | 1.000 | 0.144 | 0.030 | 994.620 | 0.600 | 0.086 | 0.352 |
| 700 mm | 1,000.000 | 0.144 | 1.000 | 0.144 | 0.030 | 994.620 | 0.700 | 0.180 | 0.168 |
| 800 mm | 1,000.000 | 0.144 | 1.000 | 0.144 | 0.030 | 994.620 | 0.800 | 0.342 | 0.088 |
| 900 mm | 1,000.000 | 0.144 | 1.000 | 0.144 | 0.030 | 994.620 | 0.900 | 0.602 | 0.050 |
| 1000 mm | 1,000.000 | 0.144 | 1.000 | 0.144 | 0.030 | 994.620 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 0.030 |
| 1100 mm | 1,000.000 | 0.144 | 1.000 | 0.144 | 0.030 | 994.620 | 1.100 | 1.582 | 0.019 |
| Velocity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dia. in mm | 143.534 | CR | $\mathrm{r}=\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{P}=\mathrm{D} / 4$ | r0.6575 | S | S0.5525 | V |  |  |
| 250 | 143.534 | 1.000 | 0.063 | 0.162 | 0.030 | 0.145 | 3.351 |  |  |
| 300 | 143.534 | 1.000 | 0.075 | 0.182 | 0.010 | 0.079 | 2.058 |  |  |
| 350 | 143.534 | 1.000 | 0.088 | 0.202 | 0.005 | 0.054 | 1.553 |  |  |
| 400 | 143.534 | 1.000 | 0.100 | 0.220 | 0.002 | 0.036 | 1.147 |  |  |
| 450 | 143.534 | 1.000 | 0.113 | 0.238 | 0.001 | 0.027 | 0.906 |  |  |
| 500 | 143.534 | 1.000 | 0.125 | 0.255 | 0.001 | 0.020 | 0.734 |  |  |
| 600 | 143.534 | 1.000 | 0.150 | 0.287 | 0.000 | 0.012 | 0.510 |  |  |
| 700 | 143.534 | 1.000 | 0.175 | 0.318 | 0.000 | 0.008 | 0.374 |  |  |
| 800 | 143.534 | 1.000 | 0.200 | 0.347 | 0.000 | 0.006 | 0.287 |  |  |
| 900 | 143.534 | 1.000 | 0.225 | 0.375 | 0.000 | 0.004 | 0.226 |  |  |
| 1000 | 143.534 | 1.000 | 0.250 | 0.402 | 0.000 | 0.003 | 0.183 |  |  |
| 1100 | 143.534 | 1.000 | 0.275 | 0.428 | 0.000 | 0.002 | 0.152 |  |  |


| TABLE: 5.1 | 1 VELOC | ITY AND H | HEADLOSSES | FOR DIFF | ERENT PIPES | IZES |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S No | Pipe Size in mm | friction head loss per 1000 m |  | Velocity in $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{sec}$ | Velocity in $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{sec}$ | Friction head loss | other <br> losses at <br> $10 \%$ | total <br> losses <br> (H1) <br> including <br> static head | Friction <br> head loss <br> in total <br> pipe <br> length | other <br> losses at $10 \%$ | total <br> losses <br> (H2) <br> including <br> static head |
|  |  | 1st stage flow | 2nd stage flow | 1st stage flow | 2nd stage flow | 1st stage flow |  | 641 | 3201.00 |  | 641 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2nd stage flow |  |  |
| 1 | 250 | 14.07 | 30.16 | 2.20 | 3.35 | 45.04 | 4.50 | 690.54 | 96.55 | 9.65 | 747.20 |
| 2 | 300 | 4.69 | 10.05 | 1.35 | 2.06 | 15.01 | 1.50 | 657.51 | 32.17 | 3.22 | 676.39 |
| 3 | 350 | 2.34 | 5.03 | 1.02 | 1.55 | 7.49 | 0.75 | 649.24 | 16.09 | 1.61 | 658.70 |
| 4 | 400 | 1.17 | 2.48 | 0.76 | 1.15 | 3.75 | 0.38 | 645.13 | 7.92 | 0.79 | 649.72 |
| 5 | 450 | 0.67 | 1.40 | 0.60 | 0.91 | 2.16 | 0.22 | 643.37 | 4.50 | 0.45 | 645.95 |
| 6 | 500 | 0.41 | 0.85 | 0.49 | 0.73 | 1.30 | 0.13 | 642.43 | 2.71 | 0.27 | 643.98 |
| 7 | 600 | 0.17 | 0.35 | 0.34 | 0.51 | 0.54 | 0.05 | 641.60 | 1.13 | 0.11 | 642.24 |
| 8 | 700 | 0.08 | 0.17 | 0.25 | 0.37 | 0.26 | 0.03 | 641.28 | 0.54 | 0.05 | 641.59 |
| 9 | 800 | 0.04 | 0.09 | 0.19 | 0.29 | 0.14 | 0.01 | 641.15 | 0.28 | 0.03 | 641.31 |
| 10 | 900 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.15 | 0.23 | 0.08 | 0.01 | 641.08 | 0.16 | 0.02 | 641.18 |
| 11 | 1000 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.12 | 0.18 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 641.05 | 0.10 | 0.01 | 641.11 |
| 12 | 1100 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.10 | 0.15 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 641.03 | 0.06 | 0.01 | 641.07 |

TABLE: 5.2 KILOWATTS \& COST OF PUMP SETS REQUIRED FOR DIFFERENT PIPESIZES AND PIPE COST

| S No | Pipe Dia in mm | class of Pipe | 1st stage flow in MLD |  |  8.30 <br> pump cost  <br> at Rs per  <br> Kw  | 2nd stage flow in MLD |  | 12.45  <br>  Pump cost <br> at Rs per <br> KW | cost of pipe per meter | total cost of pipe in thousand Rs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | H1 total head in meters | KW reqd plus 50\% stand by |  | H2 total head in meters | Kw required plus 50\% standby |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5000 |  | 3201 |
| 1 | 250 | K9 | 690.54 | 1951 | 9755 | 747.20 | 3167 | 15834 | 2581 | 8262 |
| 2 | 300 | K9 | 657.51 | 1858 | 9289 | 676.39 | 2867 | 14333 | 3269 | 10464 |
| 3 | 350 | K9 | 649.24 | 1834 | 9172 | 658.70 | 2792 | 13958 | 4075 | 13044 |
| 4 | 400 | K9 | 645.13 | 1823 | 9114 | 649.72 | 2754 | 13768 | 4914 | 13044 |
| 5 | 450 | K9 | 643.37 | 1818 | 9089 | 645.95 | 2738 | 13688 | 5880 | 18822 |
| 6 | 500 | K9 | 642.43 | 1815 | 9076 | 643.98 | 2729 | 13646 | 6840 | 21895 |
| 7 | 600 | K9 | 641.60 | 1813 | 9064 | 642.24 | 2722 | 13610 | 7015 | 22455 |
| 8 | 700 | K9 | 641.28 | 1812 | 9060 | 641.59 | 2719 | 13596 | 9622 | 30800 |
| 9 | 800 | K9 | 641.15 | 1812 | 9058 | 641.31 | 2718 | 13590 | 12550 | 40173 |
| 10 | 900 | K9 | 641.08 | 1811 | 9057 | 641.18 | 2717 | 13587 | 15314 | 49020 |
| 11 | 1000 | K9 | 641.05 | 1811 | 9056 | 641.11 | 2717 | 13586 | 18354 | 58751 |
| 12 | 1100 | K9 | 641.03 | 1811 | 9056 | 641.07 | 2717 | 13585 | 21600 | 69142 |

TABLE: 5.3 COMPARATIVESTATEMENT OF OVERALL COST OF PUMPING MAIN FOR DIFFERENT PIPE SIZES


## ANNEXURE B

## Pump House Power Requirement

- Locations of Pump House

1. Sunni
2. Dwada
3. Dummi
4. Naug

- Kilowatts required for each rising main (including 50\% standby)
a) From Sunni (intake) to Dwada

| First stage | Second stage |
| :---: | :---: |
| $1.5 * 2.90 * \mathrm{H} 1$ | $1.5 * 4.35 * \mathrm{H} 2$ |
| $1.5 * 2.90 * 720.41$ | $1.5 * 4.35 * 742.54$ |
| 3132 KW | 4843 KW |

b) From Dwada to Dummi

| First stage | Second stage |
| :---: | :---: |
| $1.5 * 1.01 * \mathrm{H} 1$ | $1.5 * 1.52 * \mathrm{H} 2$ |
| $1.5 * 1.01 * 663.53$ | $1.5 * 1.52 * 667.06$ |
| 1010 KW | 1523 KW |

c) From Dummi to Ridge tank

| First stage | Second stage |
| :---: | :---: |
| $1.5 * 1.01 * \mathrm{H} 1$ | $1.5 * 1.52 * \mathrm{H} 2$ |
| $1.5 * 1.01 * 390.27$ | $1.5 * 1.52 * 410.55$ |
| 594 KW | 937 KW |

d) From Dwada to Naug

| First stage | Second stage |
| :---: | :---: |
| $1.5 * 1.88 * \mathrm{H} 1$ | $1.5 * 2.83 * \mathrm{H} 2$ |
| $1.5 * 1.88 * 351.87$ | $1.5 * 2.83 * 360.61$ |
| 994 KW | 1528 KW |

e) From Naug to Museum hill

| First stage | Second stage |
| :---: | :---: |
| $1.5 * 1.88 * \mathrm{H} 1$ | $1.5 * 2.83 * \mathrm{H} 2$ |
| $1.5 * 1.88 * 645.13$ | $1.5 * 2.83 * 649.72$ |
| 1823 KW | 2754 KW |

